

## Math 229: Introduction to Analytic Number Theory

A zero-free region for  $\zeta(s)$

We first show, as promised, that  $\zeta(s)$  does not vanish on  $\sigma = 1$ . As usual nowadays, we give Mertens' elegant version of the original arguments of Hadamard and (independently) de la Vallée Poussin. Recall that

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}$$

has a simple pole at  $s = 1$  with residue  $+1$ . If  $\zeta(s)$  were to vanish at some  $1 + it$  then  $-\zeta'/\zeta$  would have a simple pole with residue  $-1$  (or  $-2, -3, \dots$ ) there. The idea is that  $\sum_n \Lambda(n)/n^s$  converges for  $\sigma > 1$ , and as  $s$  approaches 1 from the right all the terms contribute towards the positive-residue pole. As  $\sigma \rightarrow 1 + it$  from the right, the corresponding terms have the same magnitude but are multiplied by  $n^{-it}$ , so a pole with residue  $-1$  would force "almost all" the phases  $n^{-it}$  to be near  $-1$ . But then near  $1 + 2it$  the phases  $n^{-2it}$  would again approximate  $(-1)^2 = +1$ , yielding a pole of positive residue, which is not possible because then  $\zeta$  would have another pole besides  $s = 1$ .

To make precise the idea that if  $n^{-it} \approx -1$  then  $n^{-2it} \approx +1$ , we use the identity

$$2(1 + \cos \theta)^2 = 3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta,$$

from which it follows that the right-hand side is positive. Thus if  $\theta = t \log n$  we have

$$3 + 4 \operatorname{Re}(n^{-it}) + \operatorname{Re}(n^{-2it}) \geq 0.$$

Multiplying by  $\Lambda(n)/n^\sigma$  and summing over  $n$  we find

$$3 \left[ -\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma) \right] + 4 \operatorname{Re} \left[ -\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right] + \operatorname{Re} \left[ -\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + 2it) \right] \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

for all  $\sigma > 1$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Fix  $t \neq 0$ . As  $\sigma \rightarrow 1+$ , the first term in the LHS of this inequality is  $3/(\sigma - 1) + O(1)$ , and the remaining terms are bounded below. If  $\zeta$  had a zero of order  $r > 0$  at  $1 + it$ , the second term would be  $-4r/(\sigma - 1) + O(1)$ . Thus the inequality yields  $4r \leq 3$ . Since  $r$  is an integer, this is impossible, and the proof is complete.

We next use (1), together with the partial-fraction formula

$$-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + B_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) - \sum_{\rho} \left( \frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right),$$

to show that even the existence of a zero close to  $1 + it$  is not possible. How close depends on  $t$ ; specifically, we show:<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>See for instance Chapter 13 of Davenport's book [Davenport 1967] cited earlier. This classical bound has been improved; the current record of  $1 - \sigma \ll \log^{-2/3-\epsilon} |t|$ , due to Korobov and perhaps Vinogradov, has stood for 50 years. See [Walfisz 1963] or [Montgomery 1971, Chapter 11].

**Theorem.** *There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that if  $|t| > 2$  and  $\zeta(\sigma + it) = 0$  then*

$$\sigma < 1 - \frac{c}{\log |t|}. \quad (2)$$

*Proof:* Let  $\sigma \in [1, 2]$  and<sup>2</sup>  $|t| \geq 2$  in the partial-fraction formula. Then the  $B_1$  and  $\Gamma'/\Gamma$  terms are  $O(\log |t|)$ , and each of the terms  $1/(s - \rho)$ ,  $1/\rho$  has positive real part as noted in connection with von Mangoldt's theorem on  $N(T)$ . Therefore<sup>3</sup>

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + 2it) < O(\log |t|),$$

and if some  $\rho = 1 - \delta + it$  then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) < O(\log |t|) - \frac{1}{\sigma + \delta - 1}.$$

Thus (1) yields

$$\frac{4}{\sigma + \delta - 1} < \frac{3}{\sigma - 1} + O(\log |t|).$$

In particular, taking<sup>4</sup>  $\sigma = 1 + 4\delta$  yields  $1/20\delta < O(\log |t|)$ . Hence  $\delta \gg (\log |t|)^{-1}$ , and our claim (2) follows.  $\square$

Once we obtain the functional equation and partial-fraction decomposition for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \chi)$ , the same argument will show that (2) also gives a zero-free region for  $L(s, \chi)$ , though with the implied constant depending on  $\chi$ .

### Remarks

The only properties of  $\Lambda(n)$  that we used in the proof of  $\zeta(1 + it) \neq 0$  are that facts that  $\Lambda(n) \geq 0$  for all  $n$  and that  $\sum_n \Lambda(n)/n^s$  has an analytic continuation with a simple pole at  $s = 1$  and no other poles of real part  $\geq 1$ . Thus the same argument exactly will show that  $\prod_{\chi \bmod q} L(s, \chi)$ , and thus each of the factors  $L(s, \chi)$ , has no zero on the line  $\sigma = 1$ .

The  $3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta$  trick is worth remembering, since it has been adapted to other uses. For instance, we shall revisit and generalize it when we develop the Drinfeld-Vlăduț upper bounds on points of a curve over a finite field and the Odlyzko-Stark lower bounds on discriminants of number fields. See also the following Exercises.

<sup>2</sup>A lower bound  $|t| \geq t_0$  would do for any  $t_0 > 1$  — and the only reason we cannot go lower is that our bounds are in terms of  $\log |t|$  so we do not want to allow  $\log |t| = 0$ .

<sup>3</sup>Note that we write  $< O(\log |t|)$ , not  $= O(\log |t|)$ , to allow the possibility of an arbitrarily large *negative* multiple of  $|\log |t||$ .

<sup>4</sup> $1 + \alpha\delta$  will do for any  $\alpha > 3$ . This requires that  $\alpha\delta \leq 1$ , e.g.  $\delta \leq 1/4$  for our choice of  $\alpha = 4$ , else  $\sigma > 2$ ; but we're concerned only with  $\delta$  near zero, so this does not matter.

### Exercises

1. Use the inequality  $3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta \geq 0$  to give an alternative proof that  $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$  when  $\chi$  is a complex Dirichlet character (a character such that  $\chi \neq \bar{\chi}$ ).
2. Show that for each  $\alpha > 2$  there exists  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-|x|^\alpha + itx) dx < 0.$$

(Yes, this is related to the present topic; see [EOR 1991, p.633]. The integral is known to be positive for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  when  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ ; see for instance [EOR 1991, Lemma 5].)

### References

- [EOR 1991] Elkies, N.D., Odlyzko, A., Rush, J.A.: On the packing densities of superballs and other bodies, *Invent. Math.* 105 (1991), 613–639.
- [Montgomery 1971] Montgomery, H.L.: *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*. Berlin: Springer, 1971. [LNM 227 / QA3.L28 #227]
- [Walfisz 1963] Walfisz, A.: *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie*. Berlin: Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1963. [AB 9.63.5 / Sci 885.110(15,16)]