

**MATH 155 PROBLEM SET 5 (DUE TUESDAY NOV. 20)**  
**NO EXTENSIONS PERMITTED!**

- (1) (a) Define a variation of the RSK algorithm that gives a bijection between  $0, 1$  matrices  $A$  of finite support and pairs  $(P, Q)$  such that the transpose of  $P$  and  $Q$  are semistandard tableaux with  $\text{sh}(P) = \text{sh}(Q)$ ,  $\text{col}(A) = \text{type}(P)$ , and  $\text{row}(A) = \text{type}(Q)$ .

- (b) Prove that

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 + x_i y_j) = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda'}(y).$$

- (c) Let  $\omega_y$  denote  $\omega$  acting on the  $y$  variables only. Show that  $\omega_y \prod (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \prod (1 + x_i y_j)$ .

- (d) For every partition  $\lambda$  show that  $\omega(s_{\lambda}) = s_{\lambda'}$ , where  $\lambda'$  is the transpose of  $\lambda$ .

- (2) (a) The *Durfee size* of a partition  $\lambda$  is the number of diagonal squares  $(i, i)$  in its shape. Show that  $\chi^{\lambda}(\alpha) = 0$  if the Durfee size of  $\lambda$  is bigger than the number of parts of  $\alpha$ .

- (b) Show that if  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  and  $\mu$  is a partition of  $k \leq n$ , then

$$\chi^{\lambda}(\mu 1^{n-k}) = \sum_{\nu} f^{\lambda/\nu} \chi^{\nu}(\mu).$$

Here the sum is over all partitions  $\nu$  of  $k$ , and  $\mu 1^{n-k}$  denotes the partition obtained by adding  $n - k$  parts of length 1 to  $\mu$ .

- (c) Let  $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$  and  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ . Show that if  $w \in S_n$  is an  $n$ -cycle, then  $\chi^{\lambda}(w)$  is equal to  $(-1)^s$  if  $\lambda = (n - s, 1^s)$  and is equal to 0 otherwise.

- (3) (\*) Recall that the symmetric group  $S_n$  is generated by the simple transpositions  $s_i = (i, i + 1)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  together with the relations  $s_i^2 = 1$  and  $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ . Define a function  $f$  on  $S_n$  by defining  $f(w)$  to be the smallest number  $k$  of simple transpositions in any expression  $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$  for  $w$ . Describe  $f(w)$  in another way, in terms of the list expression for  $w$ , i.e. the list of numbers  $(w(1), w(2), \dots, w(n))$ . Then prove that your description is equivalent to the first definition of  $f$ .