

155 Lecture 13 (connection to rep theory of  $S_n$ )

Recall: have scalar product on  $\Lambda$  defined by requiring that

$$\langle m_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in \text{Par.}$$

Lemma: Let  $\{u_\lambda\}$  and  $\{v_\lambda\}$  be bases of  $\Lambda$  s.t. for all  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $u_\lambda, v_\lambda \in \Lambda^n$ . Then  $\{u_\lambda\}$  and  $\{v_\lambda\}$  are dual bases iff

$$\sum_{\lambda} u_\lambda(x) v_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1}$$

Proof: Write  $m_\lambda = \sum_{\rho} \xi_{\lambda\rho} u_\rho$  and  $h_\mu = \sum_{\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu} v_\nu$ .

$$\text{So } \delta_{\lambda\mu} = \langle m_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle = \sum_{\rho, \nu} \xi_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\mu\nu} \langle u_\rho, v_\nu \rangle. \quad (*)$$

For fixed  $n \geq 0$ , regard  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  as matrices indexed by  $\text{Par}(n)$  & let  $A$  be the matrix defined by  $A_{\rho\nu} = \langle u_\rho, v_\nu \rangle$ .

So  $(*)$  is equivalent to  $I = \xi A \eta^t$  ← transpose (always true)

So  $\{u_\lambda\}$  and  $\{v_\mu\}$  are dual bases  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$A = I \Leftrightarrow$$

$$I = \xi \eta^t \Leftrightarrow$$

$$I = \eta \xi^t \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\delta_{\rho\nu} = \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\lambda\nu}$$

But we saw earlier that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i,j} (1-x_i y_j)^{-1} &= \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{\rho} \xi_{\lambda\rho} u_{\rho}(x) \right) \left( \sum_{\nu} \eta_{\lambda\nu} v_{\nu}(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho, \nu} \left( \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\lambda\nu} \right) u_{\rho}(x) v_{\nu}(y).\end{aligned}$$

If this =  $\delta_{\rho\nu}$  then get

$$= \sum_{\rho} u_{\rho}(x) v_{\rho}(y)$$

So dual bases  $\Rightarrow$  identity holds

And if identity  $\prod (1-x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\rho} u_{\rho}(x) v_{\rho}(y)$ , then since the power series  $u_{\rho}(x) v_{\nu}(y)$  are lin. indep., get

$$\sum_{\lambda} \xi_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\lambda\nu} = \delta_{\rho\nu} \Rightarrow \text{dual bases.}$$

Recall that we had:

Corollary: Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$

$$\text{Then } \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}} S_{\lambda}(x) S_{\lambda}(y)$$

$\circ$  by Lemma,  $\{S_{\lambda}\}$  is a dual basis to itself.

Cor:  $\langle S_\lambda, S_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ .

$M^\lambda$  not necess. irred. Contains the irred  $S^\lambda$ .

Now: Connection to rep theory of  $S_n$ .

Recall that if  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $M^\lambda$  is the v.s. whose basis is set of all tabloids of shape  $\lambda$ .  $S_n$  acts on  $M^\lambda$  by permuting the tabloids. Recall that in any perm. rep,  $\chi(g) = \#$  of elmts of set fixed by  $g$

Ex:  $n=3$ .  $\lambda = (3), (2,1), \text{ or } (1,1,1)$ .

Denote character of  $M^\lambda$  by  $\phi^\lambda$  and conj. class of  $S_3$  corresp. to  $\mu$  by  $K_\mu$ .  
 Conj. class rep's: id, (12), (123)

$\lambda = (3)$ : one tabloid  $\boxed{1\ 2\ 3}$ . trivial rep.

$\lambda = (2,1)$ : tabloids are  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$e$  fixes all 3, (12) fixes 1, (123) fixes none

$\lambda = (1^3)$ : tabloids are  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  ...  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (six)

$e$  fixes all 6, (12) and (123) fix none

	$K_{(1^3)}$	$K_{(2,1)}$	$K_{(3)}$
$\phi^{(3)}$	1	1	1
$\phi^{(2,1)}$	3	1	0
$\phi^{(1^3)}$	6	0	0

Last basis of symm. functions: power-sum

Def: The  $n^{\text{th}}$  power sum symm. function is  

$$p_n = m_{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n$$

If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , then

$$P_\lambda := P_{\lambda_1} P_{\lambda_2} \dots P_{\lambda_k}$$

Example: For  $\lambda = 3$ , expand  $p_3$  into  $m_\mu$ 's...

$$P_{(3)} = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \dots = m_{(3)}$$

$$P_{(2,1)} = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots)(x_1 + x_2 + \dots) = \text{ASK!}$$

What monomials can appear here?  
 $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \dots$  ( $= m_{(3)}$ )  
 $x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + \dots + x_2^2 x_1 + x_2^2 x_3 + \dots$  ( $= m_{(2,1)}$ )

$$\text{So } P_{(2,1)} = m_{(3)} + m_{(2,1)}$$

$$P_{(1,1,1)} = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots)^3 = ?$$

$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \dots$  ( $= m_{(3)}$ )  
 $3(x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + \dots + x_2^2 x_1 + x_2^2 x_3 + \dots)$  ( $= 3m_{(2,1)}$ )  
 $6(x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + \dots)$  ( $= 6m_{(1,1,1)}$ )

$$\text{So } P_{(1,1,1)} = m_{(3)} + 3m_{(2,1)} + 6m_{(1,1,1)}$$

Compare w/ the table computed earlier!

Theorem: Let  $\phi_\lambda^M$  be the character of  $M^M$  evaluated on class corresp. to  $\lambda$ . Then 
$$P_\lambda = \sum_{M \triangleright \lambda} \phi_\lambda^M m_M$$

Write  $p_\lambda = \prod_i (x_i^{\lambda_i} + x_i^{\lambda_i+1} + \dots) = \sum_{\mu} C_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$ .

Note:  $C_{\lambda\mu} = 0$  unless  $\mu \triangleright \lambda$  (dominance order).

Because if  $x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \dots x_m^{\mu_m}$  appears in  $p_\lambda = (x_1^{\lambda_1} + x_2^{\lambda_1+1} + \dots)(x_1^{\lambda_2} + x_2^{\lambda_2+1} + \dots)$  then each  $\mu_i$  must be a sum of  $\lambda_j$ 's.

Since adding together parts of a partition makes it larger in dominance order,  $\mu \triangleright \lambda$ .

Proof: Consider coeff of  $x^\mu$  on both sides.

On LHS, it is # of way to distribute the parts of  $\lambda$  into subpartitions  $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m$  s.t.

$$\bigcup_i \lambda^i = \lambda \text{ and } \lambda^i + \mu_i \forall i \quad (*)$$

where equal parts of  $\lambda$  are distinguished in order to be considered different in disjoint union.

Ex:  $\mu = (1, 2)$ ,  $\lambda = (2, 2, 1, 1)$

$\lambda^1 = (2, 2)$  and  $\lambda^2 = (1, 1)$ .  $(\underline{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^1 + x_2^1 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^1 + x_2^1 + \dots})$   
OR

$\lambda^1 = (2, 1, 1)$  and  $\lambda^2 = (2)$ .  $(\underline{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^1 + x_2^1 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^1 + x_2^1 + \dots})$   
OR

$\lambda^1 = (2, 1, 1)$  and  $\lambda^2 = (2)$ .  $(\underline{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^1 + x_2^1 + \dots})(\underline{x_1^1 + x_2^1 + \dots})$

Now consider  $\phi_\lambda^\mu = \phi^\mu(\pi)$  where  $\pi$  has cycle type  $\lambda$ .  
By def., this character value = # of fixed points of the action of  $\pi$  on all std tableaux  $t$  of shape  $\mu$ .

But  $t$  is fixed iff each cycle of  $\pi$  lies in a single row of  $t$ . So need to distribute the cycles of length  $\lambda_i$  among the rows of  $\mu_j$  exactly according to  $\circledast$ .  $\circ \circ C_{\lambda\mu} = \phi_{\lambda}^{\mu}$ .

Recall: the irrep  $V^{\lambda}$  is a subrep of  $M^{\lambda}$ .

Want to relate symm. functions to  $V^{\lambda}$ .

Need to know how  $M^{\lambda}$  decomposes into irreps.  
Recall  $K_{\lambda\mu} = \#$  semistd tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and content  $\mu$ .

Theorem: (Young's Rule)

$$M^{\mu} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} K_{\lambda\mu} V^{\lambda}$$

In particular, if  $\chi^{\lambda} = \text{char } V^{\lambda}$ ,  
 $\langle M^{\mu}, \chi^{\lambda} \rangle = K_{\lambda\mu}$ .

Once we know this, we can try to relate symm. functions to character  $\chi^{\lambda}$  of  $V^{\lambda}$  by using inner product.

( skip the def.?! )

maybe just talk about  $\text{ind}_H^G 1$  + Frobenius Reciprocity

Recall the idea of inducing representations:  
Let  $H < G$  be a subgroup and let  $W$  be a representation of  $H$ .

We will construct a rep  $V := \text{ind}_H^G W$  of  $G$ :

- Choose a representative  $g_\sigma \in G$  for each coset  $\sigma \in G/H$ , w/e representing trivial coset  $H$ .
- Take a copy  $W^\sigma$  of  $W$  for each left coset  $\sigma \in G/H$ .
- For  $w \in W$ , let  $g_\sigma w$  denote the element of  $W^\sigma$  corresp. to  $w \in W$ .
- Let  $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} W^\sigma$ , so each element of  $V$

has a unique expression  $v = \sum_{\sigma} g_\sigma w_\sigma$  for elements  $w_\sigma \in W$ .

- Given  $g \in G$ , define  $g \cdot (g_\sigma w_\sigma) = g_\tau (h w_\sigma)$  if  $g \cdot g_\sigma = g_\tau \cdot h$  for some  $\tau \in G/H$  and  $h \in H$

Easy example: Consider  $V = \text{ind}_H^G 1_H$ .  $1_H$  is a trivial  $W =$  one-dim trival rep.

$V$  has dimension  $|G/H|$ .

Denote a basis by  $\{e_\sigma : \sigma \in G/H\}$ .

$g \cdot \{e_\sigma\} = e_\tau$  where

$g \cdot \sigma = \tau \cdot h$  for  $h \in H \Rightarrow$

$\tau$  is just the coset of  $g\sigma$ .

So  $g \cdot e_\sigma = e_{g\sigma}$

So this is just the perm. rep. on cosets of  $G/H$ .

Observation: Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  and let  $T$  be the standard tableau filled as

1 2 ...	$\lambda_1$
$\lambda_1 + 1 \dots$	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
etc.	
...	$\sum \lambda_i$

Let  $R(T)$  be the row group of  $S_n$ .  
 $(R(T) \cong S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_k})$

Then  $M^\lambda \cong \text{ind}_{R(T)}^{S_n} 1 \left( \cong \text{ind}_{S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_k}}^{S_n} 1 \right)$

Each tabloid represents a coset in  $S_n/R(T)$ , and  $M^\lambda$  is just the permutation representation on the tabloids.

Frobenius Reciprocity: Let  $H \leq G$  and suppose  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  are char's of  $H$  &  $G$ , resp. Then  
 $\langle \text{ind}_H^G \psi, \chi \rangle = \langle \psi, \text{Res}_H^G \chi \rangle$ .

Def: Call  $\nu$  a  $k$ -expansion of  $\lambda$  if Young diagram of  $\nu$  is obtained from that of  $\lambda$  by adding  $k$  boxes in different columns.

(main tool to prove Young's Rule)

(Pieri's Rule) Let  $\lambda \vdash n-k$

Theorem: The representation  $V^\lambda \otimes 1$  appears in  $\text{Res}_{S_{n-k} \times S_k}^{S_n} V^\nu$  once if  $\nu$  is a  $k$ -expansion of  $\lambda$ , and zero otherwise. Equivalently,  $\text{Ind}_{S_{n-k} \times S_k}^{S_n} (V_\lambda \otimes 1) = \bigoplus_\nu V_\nu$  where sum is over all  $k$ -expansions  $\nu$  of  $\lambda$ .

We will use GT basis here.

Recall the GT basis is defined up to choice of scalar.

There is in fact a nice choice of scalar s.t. the following holds:

Prop: Let  $T$  be a std tableau. If  $i$  and  $i+1$  are horiz. adj. in  $T$ , then  $S_i \cdot V_T = V_T$ .  
If  $i$  and  $i+1$  are vertically adj. in  $T$ ,  $S_i \cdot V_T = -V_T$ .  
If  $T$  and  $T'$  are related by admissible transposition  $S_i$  ( $i$  and  $i+1$  in diff. rows & diff. columns), the subspace of vectors in  $\mathbb{C}V_T \oplus \mathbb{C}V_{T'}$  fixed by  $S_i$  is spanned by  $V_T + V_{T'}$ .

(We proved the part about  $S_i V_T = \pm V_T$ , & the second part follows easily from analysis of  $\#(2)$ )  
Now let's prove Theorem.

Proof: Need to find # of copies of  $V^\lambda \oplus 1$  in  $\text{Res}_{S_{n-k} \times S_k}^{S_n} V^\nu$ . Here  $S_{n-k} = S_{\{1, \dots, n-k\}}$  and  $S_k = S_{\{n-k+1, \dots, n\}}$

Let  $W$  be subspace of  $V^\nu$  obtained by restricting to  $S_{n-k}$  & taking components isomorphic to  $V^\lambda$ .

For  $T$  a standard tableau of shape  $\nu$ , let  $t(T) =$  union of boxes labeled by  $1, \dots, n-k$ . (smaller tableau)

Branching Rule  $\Rightarrow W =$  span of the GT basis vectors  $v_T$  of shape  $\lambda$  where  $T$  ranges over all tableaux w/  $t(T)$  of shape  $\nu$ .

So  $\dim W = \dim V^\lambda$  times # of way to fill in squares of  $\lambda/\nu$  w/  $n-k+1, \dots, n$  sit. rows & columns are increasing.

$W \subset V^\nu$  and as rep of  $S_{n-k}$ ,  $W = \bigoplus V^\lambda$ .

Since  $S_{n-k} \times S_k$  acts on  $V^\nu$ , so does  $\{1\} \times S_k$ . Need to find # of times the trivial rep of  $\{1\} \times S_k$  occurs in  $W$ , i.e. the dim. of subspace of  $W$  fixed by all of  $S_k$ .

Use the GT basis  $v_T$ . Fix std Young tableau  $u$  of shape  $\lambda$  and set  $W_u = \bigoplus_{t(T)=u} v_T$ .

Then  $W_u$  is a  $\{1\} \times S_k$ -submodule since action of  $\{1\} \times S_k$  preserves the entries  $1, \dots, n-k$  in each tableau.  $S_k$  only permutes entries  $n-k+1, \dots, n$ .

By Prop, a vector  $v = \sum_{t(T)=u} c_T v_T$  in  $W_u$  is fixed by  $\{1\} \times S_k$  iff:

(1.) For each  $T$  in which  $i$  and  $i+1$  are vertically adj. for  $i \geq n-k+1$ ,  $c_T = 0$ .

(2.) For each  $T$  and  $T'$  w/  $t(T) = t(T') = u$  related by an admissible transposition  $(i \ i+1)$  for  $i \geq n-k+1$ , we have  $c_T = c_{T'}$ .

In fact if  $t(T) = t(T')$ , we can connect  $T$  and  $T'$  by a sequence of admissible transpositions in  $\{1\} \times S_k$ . So (2.)  $\Rightarrow$  all coeffs  $c_T$  are equal.

So the subspace of  $W_u$  fixed by all of  $\{1\} \times S_k$  is contained in span of  $v_0 = \sum_{t(T)=u} v_T$

(i.e. 0 or 1-dimensional).

Condition (1)  $\Rightarrow v_0$  is fixed by all of  $\{1\} \times S_k$  iff there is no tableau  $T$  w/  $t(T) = u$  w/  $i$  and  $i+1$  vertically adjacent for some  $i \geq n-k+1$ .

In other words, the  $k$  boxes added to Young diagram of  $\lambda$  to form  $v$  are in diff columns, i.e.  $v$  a  $k$ -expansion of  $\lambda$ . 