

IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$

MICHAEL A. HILL

1. PRELIMINARIES

The group $G = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is not only the slightly overweight cousin of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ (it is a “Flabby” S_2) but also a tremendously interesting finite group. It is both the automorphism group of the vector space of dimension 2 over the field \mathbb{F}_q and a finite group of order $q(q-1)(q^2-1)$, so naturally, representation theorists would like very much to understand its representations. We follow the exposition in [1].

Because the representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of dimension higher than 1 are difficult to describe, we need to better describe the structure of G . Probably the most important subgroup of G is B , the Borel subgroup. B , together with the non-trivial permutation matrix w allow G to be decomposed via the Bruhat decomposition. In order to find the representations of G , we will induce representations from B . B contains the group P of “shears,” matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, in addition to the group D of diagonal matrices and $Z = Z(G)$, the scalar multiples of the identity. Finally, B contains a copy of \mathbb{F}_q^+ , the group U of matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

We are now in a position to begin describing the representation of G , but how many are there? This question is equivalent to the question of the number of conjugacy classes of G , so we begin by computing this. Linear algebra tells us that two matrices in GL_2 are conjugate iff they satisfy the same minimal polynomial. Thus, we can determine the conjugacy classes by looking at the minimal polynomial of an arbitrary matrix. Since G is the group of 2×2 matrices, the characteristic polynomial is the minimal polynomial whenever the matrix is not a scalar multiple of the identity, our job is actually quite easy. We classify the characteristic polynomial of a matrix A based on its roots:

If the roots α_i are equal (and the minimal polynomial is not the characteristic polynomial), then A is $\alpha_i \cdot I$

If the roots α_i are equal and the minimal polynomial is the characteristic polynomial, then A is conjugate to $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix}$

If the roots α_i are unequal, then A is conjugate to $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$

If the roots are not in the field \mathbb{F}_q , then they are in the unique quadratic extension \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . If $\bar{}$ denotes the conjugate of an element of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} over \mathbb{F}_q , then $N(\alpha) = \alpha\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and clearly, A is conjugate to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -N(\alpha) \\ 1 & \text{Tr}(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} - \mathbb{F}_q$.

So, how many conjugacy classes are there? Clearly, any given matrix in G falls in one of the above conjugacy classes, so we need only find the number of conjugacy classes of each type. There are $q-1$ conjugacy classes of the first two types (1 for each possible $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$), $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q-2)$ conjugacy classes of the third type, and $\frac{1}{2}(q^2-q)$ of the fourth type. Thus, there are exactly q^2-1 conjugacy classes.

Now that we know the number of representations, we can begin finding them. The easiest place to start is with the representations of B . Since $B = Z \times P$, we

can find the irreducible representations of B by finding those of Z and P . Z is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_q^\times , the cyclic group of order $q - 1$, and so it has $q - 1$ irreducible representations $\rho_i: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. P clearly also has $q - 1$ 1-dimensional irreducible representations $\rho^j = \rho_j \circ \det$. Thus, B has $(q - 1)^2$ 1-dimensional characters $\rho_i^j = \rho_i \otimes \rho^j$.

P has one more irreducible representation. Fix a non-trivial representation $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, define a representation of U by

$$\psi_a(u) = \psi(\alpha u \alpha^{-1}), \quad \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The representation ψ induces a representation on P which satisfies the interesting property that

$$\text{Res}_U^P \text{Ind}_U^P(\psi) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \psi_a$$

Frobenius reciprocity shows then that $\text{Ind}_U^P(\psi)$ is irreducible, and the direct sum decomposition of the restriction shows that it is of dimension $q - 1$. Thus,

$$\phi = \text{Ind}_U^P(\psi)$$

is the final irreducible representation of P (the sums of the squares of the dimensions of the irreducible representations is $|P|$).

We lift this representation to B to find the final $q - 1$ representations of B :

$$\phi_i = \rho_i \otimes \phi$$

2. INDUCING REPRESENTATIONS OF G FROM B

First, we observe that $[G : B] = q + 1$, so

$$\dim(\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^j)) = q + 1$$

We begin by associating to each 1-dimensional representation σ of B an ordered pair of 1-dimensional representations of \mathbb{F}_q^\times (σ_1, σ_2) , such that

$$\sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right) = \sigma_1(a)\sigma_2(b)$$

That this can be done is immediate from the fact that $B/U = D$ and $D \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

For each $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, we also define σ_w by

$$\sigma_w = (\sigma_2, \sigma_1)$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_w(d) = \sigma(w d w^{-1}) \quad \forall d \in D$$

Using this characterization of a 1-dimensional representation, we analyze the induced representations. Since it provides a very nice classification tool, we construct the Jacquet Module of a representation ρ of G :

$$\mathbf{J}(V_\rho) = \{v \in V_\rho \mid \rho(u)(v) = v, \quad \forall u \in U\}$$

Clearly,

$$\mathbf{J} \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^m V_{\rho_n} \right) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^m \mathbf{J}(V_{\rho_n})$$

Theorem 1. *If $\hat{\rho}$ is induced from a 1-dimensional representation ρ of B , then $\dim(\mathbf{J}(V_{\hat{\rho}})) = 2$.*

The proof of this is actually surprisingly simple, and since the line of reasoning is fairly indicative of the reasoning used for most theorems pertaining to representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we present it here:

Proof. $V_{\hat{\rho}}$ is the set of all functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$f(bg) = \rho(b)f(g), \quad \forall b \in B, g \in G.$$

If a vector f is in $J(V_{\hat{\rho}})$, then f also satisfies the relation

$$f(bu) = f(b)$$

Thus,

$$f(b) = \rho(b)f(1) \quad \text{and} \quad f(bwu) = \rho(b)f(w)$$

The Bruhat decomposition of $G = B \coprod (BwU)$ shows that any f is determined uniquely by its value on 1 and w . Thus, $J(V_{\hat{\rho}}) = \langle f_1, f_w \rangle$, where $f_1(1) = 1$, $f_1(w) = 0$, $f_w(1) = 0$, $f_w(w) = 1$. \square

This theorem, in conjunction with the following, shows that $\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^j)$ has at most two irreducible components.

Theorem 2. $J(V_{\hat{\rho}}) \neq 0$ iff ρ is a direct summand of $\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^j)$ for some i and j .

A simple dimension argument shows that $\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^j)$ has at most 2 irreducible components:

Proof. Assume $\rho = \text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^j) = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n$. Since each ρ_i is a direct summand of ρ , we know that $J(V_{\rho_i}) \neq 0$. Thus,

$$J(V_{\rho}) = J(V_{\rho_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus J(V_{\rho_n})$$

has dimension greater than or equal to n . However, by the previous theorem, $\dim(J(V_{\rho})) = 2$, so $n \leq 2$. \square

The introduction of the description of a representation of B by an ordered pair of representations of \mathbb{F}_q^\times can now be used to determine exactly when a representation has 2 irreducible components:

Theorem 3. *If ρ is a 1-dimensional representation of B , then the $q+1$ dimensional representation $\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho)$ is reducible iff $\rho = \rho_w$. If it is reducible, then it has a 1-dimensional component and an irreducible q -dimensional component.*

The proof is lengthy and therefore will be omitted.

This amazing theorem not only classifies $\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho)$ for all 1-dimensional representation of B but also tells us how many there are of each dimension:

$$\begin{array}{ll} q-1 & \text{1-dimensional representations } (\rho_i \circ \det) \\ q-1 & \text{q-dimensional representations} \\ \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q-2) & \text{(q+1)-dimensional representations } (\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho) = \text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_w)). \end{array}$$

3. CUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

The cuspidal representations of G are those whose Jacquet module is the zero-module. A more useful characterization is that ρ is cuspidal iff

$$\text{Res}_P^G(\rho) = \phi$$

There are $\frac{1}{2}(q^2 - q)$ cuspidal representations, so simple calculation shows that if ρ is cuspidal, then

$$\dim(\rho) = q - 1$$

We will use these two facts extensively in the construction of the cuspidal representations of G .

We also, in order to construct representations easily, need the “generators and relations” description of G :

Let

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s = \omega z$$

Then

$$G = \langle B, \omega \rangle$$

subject to the relations

1. $\omega \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \omega$;
2. $\omega^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$;
3. $s^3 = 1$.

Because the cuspidal representations are difficult to describe, we must approach them via a fairly circuitous route. We describe a certain class of 1-dimensional representations of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, the non-decomposable representations, and find a way to construct a representation that corresponds to each of these.

3.1. Non-decomposable 1-dimensional Representations of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$. $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ is a cyclic group of order $q^2 - 1$, so it has $q^2 - 1$ 1-dimensional representations ν . We say that a representation ν of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ is **decomposable** iff

$$\nu(\alpha) = \rho_i(\mathbf{N}(\alpha)).$$

Relatively simple computation shows that ν is decomposable if and only if $\nu(\alpha) = \nu(\bar{\alpha})$.

In order to describe the cuspidal representations, we associate to each non-decomposable representation a cuspidal representation.

Since the dimension of the cuspidal representations is $q - 1$, we may pick any $(q - 1)$ -dimensional space. It is convenient to use the space V of all functions from \mathbb{F}_q^\times into \mathbb{C} . We also want the restriction of the representation to P to be ϕ . With this in mind, we begin defining a representation ρ_ν .

$$\rho_\nu \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) (f)(x) = \psi(bx) f(ax)$$

Furthermore, it is nice if ρ_ν coincides with ν on Z :

$$\rho_\nu \left(\begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) (f)(x) = \nu(d) f(x)$$

Thus,

$$\rho_\nu \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \right) (f)(x) = \nu(c) \psi(bc^{-1}x) f(ac^{-1}x)$$

Under this definition, ρ_ν is a homomorphism from B into $GL_2(V)$.

The difficulties arises when we try to define $\rho_\nu(\omega)$ in such a way that ρ_ν preserves the relations on G . We first define a function $j: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$j(u) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mathbf{N}(t)=u} \psi(t + \bar{t}) \nu(t)$$

With this defined, we can define $\rho_\nu(\omega)$ to be

$$\rho_\nu(\omega)(f)(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \nu(y^{-1})j(xy)f(y)$$

That ρ_ν preserves the relations 1–3 is tedious, and we shall therefore only show that it satisfies relation 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\nu \left(\omega \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right) f(x) &= \rho_\nu(\omega)(\nu(b)f(ab^{-1}x)) = \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \nu(by^{-1})j(xy)f(ab^{-1}y). \end{aligned}$$

Making the substitution $z = ab^{-1}y$, we transform the sum into

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_q} \nu(az^{-1})j(ba^{-1}xz)f(z) &= \\ \left(\rho_\nu \left(\begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \left(\sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_q} \nu(z^{-1})j(xz)f(z) \right) &= \rho_\nu \left(\begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \omega \right) f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since we know how ρ_ν acts on B and on ω , we know how it acts on G . Just for the interested reader, we present how ρ_ν acts on an arbitrary element in $B\omega U$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b - ac^{-1}d & -a \\ 0 & -c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c^{-1}d \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So,

$$\rho_\nu \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left(-\frac{1}{q} \psi \left(\frac{ax + dy}{c} \right) \sum_{N(u)=xy^{-1} \det(g)} \psi \left(-\frac{y}{c} (\text{Tr}(u)) \right) \nu(u) \right)$$

By construction, $\text{Res}_B^G(\rho_\nu) = \phi$, so ρ_ν is cuspidal. Routine, but messy, analysis also shows that $\rho_\nu \cong \rho_{\hat{\nu}}$ iff $\nu(\alpha) = \hat{\nu}(\bar{\alpha})$. Thus, there are exactly $\frac{1}{2}(q^2 - q)$ irreducible representations “induced” by non-decomposable representations.

4. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF G

We present the irreducible representations in a clear, easy to read format. If we let ρ_i^j be the representation of B correlating to the ordered pair (ρ_i, ρ_j) , then G has:

$(q - 1)$	1-dimensional representations, given by $\rho_i \circ \det$;
$\frac{1}{2}(q^2 - q)$	$(q - 1)$ -dimensional representations ρ_ν ;
$(q - 1)$	q -dimensional representations σ_i , given by $\sigma_i \oplus \rho_i \circ \det = \text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^i)$;
$\frac{1}{2}(q - 1)(q - 2)$	$(q + 1)$ -dimensional representations given by $\text{Ind}_B^G(\rho_i^j)$.

REFERENCES

1. Ilya Piatetski-Shapiro, *Complex representations of $GL(2, K)$ for finite fields K* , Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 16, pp. 1–43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980.