

# Induced representations

# Frobenius reciprocity

Thus we have proved the *Frobenius reciprocity formula*:

$$(\sigma \uparrow G, \chi)_G = (\sigma, \chi|_H)_H. \quad (3.4)$$

If  $\chi$  is the character of a representation of  $G$  on a vector space  $W$ , the left-hand side of (3.4) is just  $\dim \text{Hom}_G(W, \Gamma(E))$ . If  $\sigma$  is the character of a representation of  $H$  on a vector space  $F$  (so the fiber of  $E$  over  $H$  is  $F$ ), the right-hand side of (3.4) is just  $\dim \text{Hom}_H(W, F)$ . Thus we can rewrite (3.4) as

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(W, \Gamma(E)) = \dim \text{Hom}_H(W, F). \quad (3.5)$$

In fact, we can say more: that there is a natural identification of the two vector spaces

## A second construction of induced representations.

Let  $x \in M = G/H$ . Recall that for each  $b \in G$  such that  $x = bH$ , we have identified  $E_x$  with the set of all  $(b, v)$ , where  $v \in F$ . If  $f \in \Gamma(E)$ , then  $f(x) \in E_x$ , so we can write

$$f(x) = [(b, \hat{f}(b))],$$

where  $\hat{f}(b) \in F$ . If  $c \in H$ , then  $f(x) = f(bH) = f(bcH)$ , and

$$f(bcH) = [(bc, \hat{f}(bc))].$$

Thus

$$\hat{f}(bc) = s(c)^{-1} \hat{f}(b) \quad \text{for } c \in H. \quad (3.6)$$

Conversely, any function  $\hat{f}: G \rightarrow F$  satisfying (3.6) defines a section of  $\Gamma(E)$ . Thus we may identify  $\Gamma(E)$  with the space of all functions from  $G$  to  $F$  satisfying (3.6). Let us denote this space by  $\hat{\Gamma}$ . We now compare the action of  $G$  on both spaces. On  $\Gamma(E)$ , the representation  $r_E$  is given by

$$(r_E(a)f)(x) = af(a^{-1}x)$$

while on the space of functions the representation  $\hat{r}$  is given by

$$\hat{r}(a)\hat{f}(g) = \hat{f}(a^{-1}g).$$

If  $x = bH$ , then

$$f(x) = [(b, \hat{f}(b))]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (r_E(a)f)(x) &= a[(a^{-1}b, \hat{f}(a^{-1}b))] \\ &= [(b, \hat{f}(a^{-1}b))] \end{aligned}$$

so the function corresponding to  $r_E(a)f$  is  $\hat{r}(a)\hat{f}$  as required.

# Frobenius reciprocity

We shall use this alternative definition of the induced representation to give a proof of Frobenius reciprocity. We first locate the original representation of  $H$  on  $F$  inside the induced module:

Let  $u$  be an element of  $F$ . Define  $c_u \in \hat{\Gamma}$  by

$$c_u(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \notin H \\ s(a^{-1})u & \text{if } a \in H \end{cases}$$

The map sending  $u$  to  $c_u$  is a map from  $F$  to  $\hat{\Gamma}$  and, in fact,  $c \in \text{Hom}_H(F, \hat{\Gamma})$  since

$$c_{s(h)u}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \notin H \\ s(a^{-1}h)u & \text{if } a \in H \end{cases} = c_u(h^{-1}a) = (\hat{f}(h)c_u)(a).$$

# Proof of Frobenius reciprocity

Now let  $(t, W)$  be any representation of  $G$ . Then, by restriction, we can think of  $W$  as a representation space of  $H$ . Let  $S: F \rightarrow W$  be an element of  $\text{Hom}_H(F, W)$ . Define

$$T_S: \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow W \quad \text{by} \quad T_S \hat{f} = \frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{a \in G} t(a) S \hat{f}(a)$$

We want to prove that  $T_S \in \text{Hom}_G(\hat{\Gamma}, W)$ . To see this observe that

$$\begin{aligned} t(b) T_S \hat{f} &= \frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{a \in G} t(ba) S \hat{f}(a) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{c \in G} t(c) S \hat{f}(b^{-1}c) && a = b^{-1}c \\ &= T_S \hat{f}(b) \hat{f} \end{aligned}$$

which says that  $T_S \in \text{Hom}_G(\hat{\Gamma}, W)$ .

The map  $s \quad T_S$  is clearly linear. Also

$$\begin{aligned} T_S \cdot c_u &= \frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{a \in H} t(a) S s^{-1}(a) u \\ &= \frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{a \in H} S s(a) s^{-1}(a) u = S u. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Frobenius reciprocity.

Given  $S \in \text{Hom}_H(F, W)$ , we thus get a  $T_S \in \text{Hom}_G(\hat{\Gamma}, W)$  with

$$T_S \circ c = S.$$

This gives an injection of  $\text{Hom}_H(F, W)$  into  $\text{Hom}_G(\hat{\Gamma}, W)$  which is an isomorphism, since we already know that the dimensions are the same.

## Still more definitions of induced representation.

In our construction of  $\square(E)$  from a representation of  $H$ , the sections which vanish except at a point  $x \in M=G/H$  are identified with elements of the fiber  $E_x$ . So if  $m = H$ , and  $W := E_m$ , and  $V := \square(E)$  then  $W$  is a subspace of the  $G$ -module  $V$  stable under the action of the elements of  $H$  and  $V$  is the direct sum of the images of  $W$  under the left cosets  $sH$ . This is the definition in Serre page 28. It suffices to use  $s$  which belong to a system of left coset representatives  $R$ .

The **group algebra**  $C[G]$  of a finite group  $G$  is the algebra which has a basis indexed by elements of  $G$  and whose multiplication extends that of  $G$ . Any  $G$  module becomes automatically a  $C[G]$  module.

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  then  $C[H]$  is a subalgebra of  $C[G]$  and the elements of  $R$  form a basis of  $C[G]$  considered as a  $C[H]$  module. If  $W$  is an  $H$  module then  $C[G] \square_{C[H]} W$  is the induced module.

# Induction followed by restriction.

- Let  $H$  and  $K$  be subgroups of  $G$ . We want to study the operation of inducing a module from  $H$  to  $G$  and then restricting to  $K$ .
- **Double cosets:** We let  $K \times H$  act on  $G$  with  $K$  acting on the left and  $H$  on the right. The orbits for this action are called double cosets. We choose a set  $S$  of double coset representatives, which means that  $G$  is the disjoint union of the  $KsH$  as  $s$  ranges over  $S$ . For  $s \in S$  we define  $H_s := sHs^{-1} \cap K$  which is a subgroup of  $K$ .
- If  $r$  is a representation of  $H$ , we let  $r^S$  denote the representation of  $H$  given by  $r^S(x) := r(s^{-1}xs)$ .
- Claim  $(r \cap G) \cap K = \bigcap_{s \in S} (r^S \cap K)$

# Proof that $(r \uparrow G) \uparrow K = \prod (r^S \uparrow K)$ .

$V$  is the direct sum of  $xW$  for  $x \in G/H$ . Let  $V(s)$  be the sum over  $x \in KsH$ . So  $V$  is the direct sum of the  $V(s)$  and  $V(s)$  is invariant under  $K$ . We wish to show that each  $V(s)$  is induced from the representation  $r^S$  of  $H_s$  on  $W$ .

The subgroup of  $K$  fixing  $sW$  is  $H_s$ , and  $V(s)$  is the direct sum of the images  $xsW$ ,  $x \in K/H_s$ .

The representation of  $H_s$  on  $sW$  is given by

$k(sw) = (ks)w = s(s^{-1}ks)w$  and so is equivalent to  $r^S$  by the isomorphism  $s: W \rightarrow sW$ .

# Mackey's irreducibility criterion.

This says that  $r \downarrow G$  is irreducible if and only if

(a)  $r$  is irreducible and

(b)  $r^s$  and  $r \downarrow H_s$  are disjoint for all  $s \in H$ .

Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $r$  and let  $\chi \downarrow G$  be the character of  $r \downarrow G$ . Irreducibility of  $r \downarrow G$  says that  $(\chi \downarrow G, \chi \downarrow G)_G = 1$ . Frobenius recip. says that  $(\chi \downarrow G, \chi \downarrow G)_G = (\sum_{s \in H} (\chi \downarrow H_s, \chi \downarrow H_s))_H$ .

If  $\chi^s$  denotes the character of  $r^s$  then by the previous slide

$$(r \downarrow G) \downarrow H = \sum_{s \in H} r^s \downarrow H \quad \text{or} \quad (\chi \downarrow G) \downarrow H = \sum_{s \in H} \chi^s \downarrow H.$$

By Frobenius reciprocity,  $(\chi \downarrow H_s, \chi \downarrow H_s)_{H_s} = (\chi \downarrow H_s, \chi \downarrow H_s)_{H_s} = (\chi \downarrow H_s, \chi \downarrow H_s)_{H_s}$ .

Now when  $s=e$ ,  $H_s = H$  and  $\chi^s = \chi$ . Thus the summand corresponding to  $s=e$  must equal one, which is condition (a), and all other summands must vanish which is condition (b).

## Representations of semi-direct products.

Let  $G$  be the semi-direct product of a group  $H$  and an abelian group  $A$  which means that every element of  $G$  can be written uniquely as  $ah$  with  $a \in A$  and  $h \in H$ . All irreducible representations of  $A$  are one dimensional and form a group  $X$  under multiplication. The group  $H$  acts via conjugation on  $A$  and hence acts on  $X$  by

$$(s \cdot \chi)(a) = \chi(s^{-1}as).$$

Let  $\{\chi_i\}$  be a system of representatives of the orbits of  $H$  on  $X$  and

Let  $H_i$  be the isotropy group of  $\chi_i$ . Let  $G_i = A H_i$ . Extend the function  $\chi_i$  to  $G_i$  by setting  $\chi_i(ah) := \chi_i(a)$ . We have

$$\chi_i(ahbh') = \chi_i(ahbh^{-1}hh') = \chi_i(ahbh^{-1}) = \chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) = \chi_i(ah)\chi_i(bh')$$

so this extension gives a one dimensional representation of  $G_i$ .

Let  $r$  be an irreducible representation of  $H_i$ . It gives a representation of  $G_i$  via the homomorphism of  $G_i$  onto  $H_i$ . Take  $r \otimes \chi_i$  and induce up to  $G$ . Call this induced representation  $R(r, \chi_i)$ . The claim is

# Proof that $R(r, \chi_i)$ is irreducible.

Suppose that  $s \in G_i$  and let  $K_s := G_i \cap s G_i s^{-1}$ . Using Mackey's criterion, it is enough to show that the restriction of  $r \otimes \chi_i$  to  $K_s$  is disjoint from the representation  $(r \otimes \chi_i)^s$ . But already on  $A$

These are disjoint since  $\chi_i^s$  is not equivalent to  $\chi_i$ .

Notice that  $R(r, \chi_i)$  determines  $r$  and  $\chi_i$ . Indeed, the restriction of  $R(r, \chi_i)$  to  $A$  involves only the characters belonging to the  $H$  orbit of  $\chi_i$ . So the orbit and hence  $i$  is determined. Let  $W$  be the space of  $R(r, \chi_i)$  and let  $U$  be the subspace of  $W$  which transforms under  $A$  according to the character  $\chi_i$ . This space is stable under  $H_i$  and the restriction of  $H_i$  to this subspace acts via  $r$ .

# Proof that the $R(r, \square_i)$ are all the irreducibles.

Suppose that  $s$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  on  $W$ . Decompose  $W$  according to the irreducibles of  $A$ :  $W = \bigsqcup W_i$  where  $W_i$  is the subspace of  $W$  consisting of those  $w$  which satisfy  $aw = \square_i(a)w$ ,  $\square a \in G$ . Since  $hW_i = W_j$  where  $h \square_i = \square_j$  we see that the direct sum of those  $W_i$  where the  $\square_i$  belong to a fixed orbit form an invariant subspace. So the direct sum is really over the  $\square_i$  belonging to a single orbit, and hence is an induced representation from a subgroup of the form  $AH_i$  on  $W_i$ . By Mackey's theorem this representation must be irreducible and is of the form  $\square_i \square r$  as desired.

The group  $AH_i$  is called the **little group**.

The irreducibles of  $D_4$ , or any even dihedral group.

*Step 1.*  $D_4 = H \oplus N$ , where  $H = \{e, \alpha_1\}$  and  $N = \{e, R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ . Here  $\alpha_1$  is a reflection and the  $R_i$  are rotations through 90, 180, and 270 degrees.

*Step 2* Form  $N^*$ , the set of characters of  $N (\cong C_4)$  (see Table 21).

|          | $e$ | $R_1$ | $R_2$ | $R_3$ |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| $\chi_0$ | 1   | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| $\chi_1$ | 1   | $i$   | $-1$  | $-i$  |
| $\chi_2$ | 1   | $-1$  | 1     | $-1$  |
| $\chi_3$ | 1   | $-i$  | $-1$  | $i$   |

*Step 3* Let  $H$  act on  $N^*$  by  $h\chi_i(n) = \chi_i(h^{-1}nh)$  (see Table 22). The action breaks up  $N^*$  into three orbits as in Fig. 3.12.

|            | $\chi_0$ | $\chi_1$ | $\chi_2$ | $\chi_3$ |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $e$        | $\chi_0$ | $\chi_1$ | $\chi_2$ | $\chi_3$ |
| $\alpha_1$ | $\chi_0$ | $\chi_3$ | $\chi_2$ | $\chi_1$ |



**Fig. 3.12**

## The irreducibles of $D_4$ , continued.

**Step 4** Pick an orbit and a point in the orbit. Find  $L_i$ , the isotropy subgroup, which fixed the point. For the single-element orbits  $L_i = H$ . For the double-element orbit  $L_i = \{e\}$ .

**Step 5** Consider the two-element orbit and choose  $\chi_1$  to be identified with the coset  $N$ . Then  $\chi_3$  is identified with the coset  $\alpha_1 N$ . Construct a vector bundle over the two points by taking as basis elements  $e_1 = [(e, v_0)]$  and  $e_2 = [(\alpha_1, v_0)]$ .

**Step 6** Calculate representation matrices by letting  $G = D_4$  act on basis elements. Use

$$[(bl, v)] = [(b, \sigma(l)v)] \quad \text{where } \sigma(l) = \chi_1(n)\rho(h) \text{ and } l = hn. \text{ Since } H = \{e\}, \\ \rho(h) \equiv 1. \text{ So}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1[(e, v_0)] &= [(R_1, v_0)] = [(eR_1, v_0)] = [(e, \sigma(R_1)v_0)] \\ &= [(e, \chi_1(R_1)v_0)] = [(e, iv_0)] = i[(e, v_0)] = ie_1 \end{aligned}$$

## The irreducibles of $D_4$ , continued.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} R_1[(\alpha_1, v_0)] &= [(R_1\alpha_1, v_0)] = [(\alpha_1 R_3, v_0)] = [(\alpha_1, \sigma(R_3)v_0)] \\ &= [(\alpha_1, \chi_1(R_3)v_0)] = [(\alpha_1, -iv_0)] = -ie_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $R_1$  is represented by  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ .

For  $\alpha_1$  we have

$$\alpha_1[(e, v_0)] = [(\alpha_1, v_0)] = e_2$$

and

$$\alpha_1[(\alpha_1, v_0)] = [(e, v_0)] = e_1.$$

So  $\alpha_1$  is represented by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Since  $R_1\alpha_1 = \beta_1$  we can, with these two matrices, recover all of the matrix representations of the group. So this orbit gave the two-dimensional representation of  $D_4$ .

## The irreducibles of $D_4$ , continued.

*Step 6'* We apply Step 6 to each of the two remaining orbits. Suppose we consider the orbit with  $\chi_2$ . Now  $L_2 = H$  is not trivial and there are two representations of the little group as shown in Table 23.

The choice of using either  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$  in  $\sigma(l) = \chi_2(n)\rho_i(h)$  will give *two* distinct one-dimensional representations.

There is only one basis element now,  $[(e, v_0)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} R_1[(e, v_0)] &= [(eR_1, v_0)] = [(e, \chi_2(R_1)\rho_i(e)v_0)] \\ &= -[(e, v_0)] \end{aligned}$$

for both representations, since  $\rho_i(e) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . However,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1[(e, v_0)] &= [(e\alpha_1, v_0)] = [(e, \chi_2(e)\rho_i(\alpha_1)v_0)] \\ &= [(e, v_0)] \end{aligned}$$

since  $\rho_1(\alpha_1) = 1$  but  $\rho_2(\alpha_1) = -1$ . Hence our two representations are as shown in Table 24.

Again, these will generate the whole group, so we are done

|   | $R_1$ | $\alpha_1$ |
|---|-------|------------|
| 3 | -1    | 1          |
| 4 | -1    | -1         |

## The irreducibles of $D_4$ , continued.

It remains to do the third orbit, which consists of  $\chi_0$ . The little group is  $H$ , and  $R_1$  is obviously represented by  $+1$  since  $\chi_0 \equiv 1$ . For  $\alpha$ , we have again

$$\alpha_1[(e, v_0)] = [(e, \rho_i(\alpha_1)v_0)] = \pm [(e, v_0)].$$

So the other two one-dimensional representations are as in Table 25.

|   | $R_1$ | $\alpha_1$ |
|---|-------|------------|
| 1 | 1     | 1          |
| 2 | 1     | -1         |

## The irreducibles of $D_4$ , concluded.

Our results are summarized in the table

|   | $e$  | $R_1, R_3$   | $R_2$  | $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = R_2 \alpha_1$  | $\beta_1 = R_1 \alpha_1, \beta_2 = R_3 \alpha_1$   |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 2 | 1  | 1  | 1  | -1   | -1   |
| 3 | 1  | -1   | 1  | 1  | -1   |
| 4 | 1  | -1   | 1  | -1   | 1  |
| 5 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |