

## The tensor product of representations

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups. Their direct product  $G \times H$  consists of all pairs  $(a, b)$  with the multiplication law

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd).$$

Suppose that  $(r, U)$  is a representation of  $G$  and  $(s, V)$  is a representation of  $H$ . We can form the tensor product,  $U \otimes V$ , of the two vector spaces  $U$  and  $V$ . Recall from the theory of tensor products that if  $A \in \text{Hom}(U, U)$  and  $B \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , then there is a unique transformation  $A \otimes B$  on  $U \otimes V$  such that

$$(A \otimes B)(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{u} \otimes B\mathbf{v}.$$

Also

$$\text{tr}(A \otimes B) = (\text{tr } A) \cdot (\text{tr } B).$$

Furthermore, if  $C \in \text{Hom}(U, U)$  and  $D \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , then

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD.$$

# The character of tensor products

This shows that we get a representation  $r \otimes s$  of  $G \times H$  on  $U \otimes V$  by setting

$$(r \otimes s)(a, b) = r(a) \otimes s(b),$$

and that

$$\chi^{r \otimes s}(a, b) = \chi^r(a) \chi^s(b).$$

If  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{G \times H}$  denotes the scalar product on  $G \times H$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{G \times H}$  the corresponding norm, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi^{r \otimes s}\|_{G \times H}^2 &= (\chi^{r \otimes s}, \chi^{r \otimes s})_{G \times H} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#(G \times H)} \sum_{\substack{a \in G \\ b \in H}} \chi^{r \otimes s}(a, b) \overline{\chi^{r \otimes s}(a, b)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#G} \cdot \frac{1}{\#H} \left( \sum_{a \in G} \chi^r(a) \overline{\chi^r(a)} \right) \left( \sum_{b \in H} \chi^s(b) \overline{\chi^s(b)} \right) \\ &= \|\chi^r\|_G^2 \|\chi^s\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\|\chi^r\|_G^2 = \|\chi^s\|_H^2 = 1$ , then  $\|\chi^{r \otimes s}\|_{G \times H}^2 = 1$ . Thus,

If  $r$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  and  $s$  is an irreducible representation of  $H$ , then  $r \otimes s$  is an irreducible representation of  $G \times H$ .

# Application to the regular representation

Let  $r$  be a representation of  $G$  on  $W$ . We can construct a representation  $\hat{r}$  of  $G$  on the dual space  $W^*$  by defining

$$\hat{r}(a)l = r(a)^*{}^{-1}l.$$

This is a representation because

$$\begin{aligned} r(ab)^*{}^{-1} &= (r(a)r(b))^*{}^{-1} \\ &= (r(b)^*r(a)^*)^{-1} \\ &= r(a)^*{}^{-1}r(b)^*{}^{-1} \\ &= \hat{r}(a)\hat{r}(b). \end{aligned}$$

We thus get a representation of  $G \times G$  on  $W \otimes W^*$ . If the representation of  $G$  on  $W$  is irreducible, then so is the representation of  $G \times G$  on  $W \otimes W^*$ .

# Application to the regular representation, continued.

We have seen how to attach a function  $f_w^l$  on  $G$  to each pair  $(w, l)$  with  $w \in W$  and  $l \in W^*$ . Since  $f_w^l$  depends linearly on  $w$  for  $l$  fixed, and linearly on  $l$  for  $w$  fixed, we have thus defined a map

$$\begin{aligned} W \otimes W^* &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G) \\ w \otimes l &\mapsto f_w^l. \end{aligned}$$

Now the group  $G \times G$  acts on  $G$  by right and left multiplication:

$$(a, b)c = acb^{-1}$$

and hence we get a corresponding representation  $\hat{f}^G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(G)$

$$[\hat{f}^G(a, b)f](c) = f(a^{-1}cb).$$

## Application to the regular representation, continued.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{r(a)\mathbf{w}}^{r(b)l}(c) &= \langle r(c)^{-1}r(a)\mathbf{w}, r(b)^{* -1}l \rangle \\
 &= \langle r(b)^{-1}r(c)^{-1}r(a)\mathbf{w}, l \rangle \\
 &= \langle r(a^{-1}cb)^{-1}\mathbf{w}, l \rangle \\
 &= f_{\mathbf{w}}^l(a^{-1}cb).
 \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the map from  $W \otimes W^*$  to  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  is a morphism for the action of  $G \times G$ .

Now decompose  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  into irreducibles under the action of  $G \times G$ : For each irreducible representation  $W_i$  of  $G$ , we know that  $W_i \otimes W_i^*$  occurs as an irreducible component under  $G \times G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ . Under  $G$ , the space  $W_i \otimes W_i^*$  decomposes into a direct sum of  $n_i$  copies of  $W_i$ . In particular, no  $W_i \otimes W_i^*$  has any component in common with  $W_j \otimes W_j^*$  for  $i \neq j$ . *A fortiori*,  $W_i \otimes W_i^*$  and  $W_j \otimes W_j^*$  are inequivalent as irreducible representations of  $G \times G$ . Thus  $W_1 \otimes W_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus W_k \otimes W_k^*$  occurs as a summand of  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ , where  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  are all the irreducible representations of  $G$ . But the dimension of this summand is  $\sum n_i^2 = \dim \mathcal{F}(G)$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{F}(G) = W_1 \otimes W_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus W_k \otimes W_k^*$$

In the decomposition of  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  into irreducibles under  $G \times G$ .

Each irreducible summand occurs exactly **once**.

# of irreducibles = # of conjugacy classes.

In the decomposition  $\mathcal{F}(G) = W_1 \otimes W_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k \otimes W_k^*$  each summand is irreducible and occurs once. Hence

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{G \times G}(\mathcal{F}(G), \mathcal{F}(G)) = \underbrace{1^2 + \cdots + 1^2}_{k \text{ times}} = k.$$

We know that this dimension must equal the number of orbits of  $G \times G$  acting on  $G \times G$  by the rule

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (acb^{-1}, adb^{-1}).$$

we can always find an element of the form  $(e, d)$  on any orbit. But

$(a, b)(e, d) = (ab^{-1}, adb^{-1})$  will have the same form if  $b = a$ . Thus  $(e, d)$  and  $(e, ada^{-1})$  lie on the same orbit, and hence

the number of orbits of  $G \times G$  on  $G \times G$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

Thus  $\dim \text{Hom}_{G \times G}(\mathcal{F}(G), \mathcal{F}(G)) = k = \#$  of conjugacy classes.

We have proved that

the number of distinct irreducible representations is equal to the number of conjugacy classes. (6.3)

## A second orthogonality relation for characters.

Let  $C$  denote the space of functions which are constant on conjugacy classes, and let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k$  be the distinct irreducible characters. We already know that the functions  $\chi_i \in C$  are mutually orthogonal and have length one. Since  $k = \#$  of conjugacy classes  $= \dim C$ , they form an orthonormal basis of  $C$ . Any  $f \in C$  can be expanded in terms of the basis  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_p$ :

$$f = (f, \chi_1)\chi_1 + \dots + (f, \chi_p)\chi_p.$$

Let us apply this formula to the function  $f_j$ , which equals one on the  $j$ th conjugacy class and vanishes on all the others. Then  $(f, \chi_i) = (\#C_j/\#G)\overline{\chi_i(j)}$ , where  $\#C_j$  is the number of elements in the  $j$ th conjugacy class,  $C_j$ , and  $\chi_i(j)$  is the value of  $\chi_i$  on any element of this class. Substituting into the above formula and evaluating at a point in the  $j$ th conjugacy class,  $C_j$ , we get

$$1 = (\#C_j/\#G)(\chi_1(j)\overline{\chi_1(j)} + \dots + \chi_p(j)\overline{\chi_p(j)}). \quad (6.4)$$

Evaluating at a different conjugacy class gives

$$0 = \chi_1(k)\overline{\chi_1(j)} + \dots + \chi_p(k)\overline{\chi_p(j)} \quad \text{if } j \neq k. \quad (6.5)$$

# The two orthogonality relations for characters

Let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_p$  be the distinct irreducible characters of the group  $G$ , and let  $C_1, \dots, C_p$  denote the distinct conjugacy classes. We denote by  $\chi_i(j)$  the (constant) value of the character  $\chi_i$  on any element of the conjugacy class  $C_j$ . Then

$$(\chi_i, \chi_k) = (1/\#G) \sum_{a \in G} \chi_i(a) \overline{\chi_k(a)} = (1/\#G) \sum_{j=1}^p (\#C_j) \chi_i(j) \overline{\chi_k(j)}.$$

We can thus write the orthogonality relations (4.6) and (4.7) as

$$(\#C_1)\chi_i(1)\overline{\chi_k(1)} + \dots + (\#C_p)\chi_i(p)\overline{\chi_k(p)} = \begin{cases} \#G & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

We can write the orthogonality relations (6.4) and (6.5) as

$$(\#C_j)[\chi_1(j)\overline{\chi_1(l)} + \dots + \chi_p(j)\overline{\chi_p(l)}] = \begin{cases} \#G & \text{if } j = l \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq l \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$



# Character tables

Equations (7.1) and (7.2) can be summarized in the form of a table. We label the columns by the conjugacy classes, indicating, alongside  $C_j$ , the number of its elements  $\#C_j$ . We label the rows by the characters  $\chi_i$ , and place the value  $\chi_i(j)$  in the  $i, j$  position. Then (7.1) says that the 'scalar product' of two distinct rows is zero, and of a row with itself is  $\#G$ , provided that we weight the  $j$ th column by  $\#C_j$ . Similarly, (7.2) says the same thing about the scalar product of the columns, again weighting the columns by  $\#C_j$ . The table so obtained is called the character table of the group. In a sense, it contains all the information about the representations of the group. The first conjugacy class,  $C_1$ , is usually taken to be the one-element conjugacy class  $[e]$ . Thus, the elements of the first column consist of the values  $\chi_i(e) = n_i$ , the degree of the  $i$ th irreducible representation. The character  $\chi_1$  is usually taken to be the trivial representation, so that the entries of the first row are all ones.

# The character table of $S_3$

$6S_3$	$1C_1$	$3C_2$	$2C_3$
$\chi_1$	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	2	0	-1
$\chi_3$	1	-1	1

For  $j = l = 2$ , and  $j = l = 3$  (7.2) says, respectively,

$$3[1^2 + 0^2 + (-1)^2] = 6$$

and

$$2[1^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2] = 6.$$

Notice that all the entries of the character table for  $S_3$  happen to be integers.

# The character table of $C_n$ .

$nC_n$	$1[e]$	$1[a]$	$1[a^2]$	...	$1[a^{n-1}]$
$\chi_1$	1	1	1	...	1
$\chi_2$	1	$\varepsilon$	$\varepsilon^2$	...	$\varepsilon^{n-1}$
$\chi_3$	1	$\varepsilon^2$	$\varepsilon^4$	...	$\varepsilon^{2(n-1)}$
·	·	·	·	...	·
·	·	·	·	...	·
·	·	·	·	...	·
$\chi_n$	1	$\varepsilon^{n-1}$	$\varepsilon^{2(n-1)}$	...	$\varepsilon^{(n-1)^2}$

Let  $a$  be a generator for  $C_n$ , so that the conjugacy classes are the various  $[a^{j-1}]$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. Then the characters  $\chi_i$ , determined by  $\chi_i(a) = \varepsilon^{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are all distinct, and thus give all the characters.

## The character table of $T$ .

$12T$	$[e]$	$4[r_3]$	$4[r_3^2]$	$3[r^2]$
$\chi_1$	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	1	$\varepsilon$	$\varepsilon^2$	1
$\chi_3$	1	$\varepsilon^2$	$\varepsilon$	1
$\chi_4$	3	0	0	-1

$$\varepsilon = \exp 2\pi i/3$$

Let us now compute the character table of the group  $T$ . This group is of order 12, and has a three-dimensional representation as the symmetries of the tetrahedron. The trace of any rotation through angle  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^3$ ) is  $1 + 2 \cos \phi$ . Thus, for this three-dimensional representation we have

$$\chi(e) = 3, \quad \chi(R_{120^\circ}) = \chi(R_{240^\circ}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(R_{180^\circ}) = -1.$$

Thus

$$(\#G) \|\chi\|^2 = 9 + 3 \cdot 1 = 12$$

since there are three rotations through  $180^\circ$  and four each through  $120^\circ$  and  $240^\circ$ . We see that this three-dimensional representation is irreducible. Since the sum of squares of the degrees of all irreducible representations is 12, and  $3^2 = 9$ , there must also be three one-dimensional representations. These can be found as follows: let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $T$  consisting of the identity and the rotations through  $180^\circ$ . Then  $H$  is a normal subgroup and hence any representation of the quotient group,  $T/H$ , lifts to a representation of  $T$ . But  $T/H$  is just the cycle group  $C_3$ , which has three one-dimensional representations. Thus the character table of  $T$  is given by Table 8.