

# Math 113, Fall 2001

## Solutions to Problem Set 7

December 17, 2001

**1a.** Suppose none of  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  is equal to  $\infty$ . The cross-ratio  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is equal to  $\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_4 - z_1}\right) \left(\frac{z_3 - z_1}{z_3 - z_2}\right)$ . Label the points  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  in the complex plane by  $A, B, C, D$  respectively. Then the argument of the cross-ratio is simply the sum of the angles  $BDA$  and  $BCA$ , up to a sign. The sum of the angles  $BDA$  and  $BCA$  is 0 or  $\pi$  if and only if the points  $A, B, C, D$  all lie on a circle or line, and  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is real if and only if its argument is 0 or  $\pi$ , whence the proposition.

If  $z_4$  is equal to  $\infty$ , then the cross-ratio is simply  $\left(\frac{z_3 - z_1}{z_3 - z_2}\right)$ . This cross-ratio is real if and only if  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are collinear.  $z_4$  lies on all lines, so the cross-ratio is real if and only if all four points are collinear. Similar results hold if one of the other points is  $\infty$ .

**1b.** From Theorem 13.23 in Bak and Newman, the desired mapping  $\omega$  is given by  $\frac{(z-i)(-2)}{(z-1)(-1-i)} = \frac{(\omega+1)(1-i)}{(\omega-i)(2)}$ . After some algebra, we get  $\omega(z) = \frac{(1+2i)z+1}{z+(1-2i)}$ .

**2a.** The function  $f(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$  maps  $\mathbf{H}$  to the unit disc. The function  $g(z) = iz$  maps the right half-plane to  $\mathbf{H}$ . Hence the function  $f \circ g(z) = \frac{z-1}{z+1}$  is the function we seek.

**2b.** The function  $h(z) = 1 - z$  maps the right half-plane to the half-plane  $\{\operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$ . Hence the function  $f \circ g \circ h(z) = \frac{z}{z-2}$  does the job.

**2c.** The function  $j(z) = e^{\frac{\pi z}{2}}$  maps the strip  $\{-1 < \operatorname{Im}(z) < 1\}$  to the right half-plane, so the function we want is  $f \circ g \circ j(z) = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi z}{2}} - 1}{e^{\frac{\pi z}{2}} + 1} = \tanh\left(\frac{\pi z}{4}\right)$ .

**2d.** The function  $g(z) = iz$  maps the vertical strip  $\{-1 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$  to the horizontal strip  $\{-1 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$ , so the function we want is  $f \circ g \circ j \circ g(z) = \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi z}{2}} - 1}{e^{\frac{i\pi z}{2}} + 1} = i \tan\left(\frac{\pi z}{4}\right)$ .

**3.** Put  $k(z) = \frac{z}{z-2}$ , the function from **2b**. Note that  $k(0) = 0$ . Consider the function  $k \circ f(z)$ . This function maps  $\Delta$  to itself analytically and sends 0 to 0. By Schwarz's lemma,  $|(k \circ f)'(0)| \leq 1$ , or  $|k'(f(0))f'(0)| \leq 1$ . One computes  $k'(f(0))$  to be  $-\frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $|f'(0)| \leq 2$ . Also by Schwarz's lemma,  $|k \circ f(z)| \leq |z|$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ . Hence  $|\frac{f(z)}{f(z)-2}| \leq |z|$ . Thus  $|f(z)| \leq |z||f(z)-2| \leq |z||f(z)| + 2|z|$ , so  $|f(z)|(1-|z|) \leq 2|z|$ . Since  $1-|z|$  is positive for  $z \in \Delta$ , we have  $|f(z)| \leq \frac{2|z|}{1-|z|}$ .

**4.** Let  $f$  be a conformal automorphism of  $\Delta^*$ . Then  $f$  is bounded in a deleted

neighborhood of 0. By Corollary 9.4 in Bak and Newman,  $f$  can be extended to an analytic function  $g$  on all of  $\Delta$ . By the maximum principle,  $|g(0)| < 1$ . If  $g(0) \in \Delta^*$ , then there exists some  $z \in \Delta^*$  such that  $g(0) = g(z)$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint neighborhoods of 0 and  $z$ , respectively. Since  $g$  is analytic,  $g(U) \cap g(V)$  is open. But since  $g$  is injective on  $\Delta^*$ , the only point in the intersection of  $g(U) \cap g(V)$  is the point  $g(0) = g(z)$ , and this one-point is clearly not open, a contradiction. Hence we must have  $g(0) = 0$ . Thus  $g$  is an automorphism of the unit disc with  $g(0) = 0$ . By Lemma 13.14 in Bak and Newman,  $g$  is a rotation.

**5.** Fix  $z_0 \in \Delta$ . Put  $g(z) = \frac{z+z_0}{1+\overline{z_0}z}$  and  $h(z) = \frac{z-f(z_0)}{-f(\overline{z_0})z+1}$ . (Note that  $g$  and  $h$  depend on our choice of  $z_0$ .) Then  $g(0) = z_0$ ,  $h(z_0) = 0$  and  $h \circ f \circ g(0)$  is a conformal automorphism of  $\Delta$  fixing 0. By Schwarz's lemma,  $|(h \circ f \circ g)'(0)| \leq 1$ , or  $|h'(f \circ g(0))f'(g(0))g'(0)| \leq 1$ . One computes  $g'(0)$  to be  $(1 - |z_0|^2)$  and  $h'(f \circ g(0))$  to be  $\frac{1}{1-|f(z_0)|^2}$ . Thus  $f'(z_0) \leq \frac{1-|f(z_0)|^2}{1-|z_0|^2}$ . This statement holds for any  $z_0$  in  $\Delta$ . Equality holds in Schwarz's lemma if and only if  $h \circ f \circ g$  is a conformal automorphism, which happens if and only if  $f$  is a conformal automorphism.

**6ab.** If  $f$  is a conformal automorphism of  $\Delta$ , then  $\int_{f(\gamma)} \frac{|dz|}{1-|z|^2} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f'(z)||dz|}{1-|f(z)|^2}$ . By Pick's lemma,  $\frac{|f'(z)|}{1-|f(z)|^2} \leq 1 - |z|^2$ , with equality holding if and only if  $f$  is an automorphism. Hence  $\int_{\gamma} \frac{|f'(z)||dz|}{1-|f(z)|^2} \leq \int_{\gamma} \frac{|dz|}{1-|z|^2}$ , with equality holding if and only if  $f$  is an automorphism.