

## Contents

<b>Lectures on the Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer</b> BENEDICT H. GROSS	1
Lectures on the Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer	3
1. Introduction	3
Lecture 1. The Mordell-Weil Theorem	5
1. Torsion on elliptic curves	5
2. Galois cohomology	6
3. A 2-descent	7
4. Heights	9
Lecture 2. Conjectures on $L$ -functions	11
1. The incomplete $L$ -function	11
2. The $L$ -function of an elliptic curve	12
3. Periods	14
4. The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer	16
5. Examples of elliptic curves over function fields	17
6. Examples of elliptic curves over number fields	19
Lecture 3. Progress to Date	21
1. Results over function fields	21
2. Constant curves	22
3. Non-constant curves	24
4. Results over number fields	25
5. Local and global heights	26
Appendices	29
A. Reduction modulo $v$	29
B. Descent computations	31
C. The Tate module and the isogeny theorem	34
D. $L$ -functions of elliptic curves over function fields	35
Bibliography	39



# Lectures on the Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

Benedict H. Gross



# Lectures on the Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

Benedict H. Gross

## 1. Introduction

In these lectures, I will present the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, for an elliptic curve  $E$  over a global field  $k$ . The first lecture studies various arithmetic invariants of the curve, focusing primarily on the Mordell-Weil group  $E(k)$ . In the second lecture, I introduce the  $L$ -function, describe its analytic properties (both known and expected), and give a precise statement of the conjecture for the leading term in its Taylor expansion at the point  $s = 1$ . In the third lecture, I discuss the advances that have been made towards a proof.

Carl Erickson and Jack Thorne helped to prepare these notes from a preliminary version of my lectures, adding many examples and references. In particular, the material in the Appendices was developed by them. I want to thank them for all their hard work, and to thank Mark Reeder and Curt McMullen for their comments.



## The Mordell-Weil Theorem

In this lecture we sketch the proof of the Mordell-Weil theorem for elliptic curves over global fields. We also introduce some of the arithmetic invariants that appear in the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, such as the regulator of the height pairing and the order of the Tate-Shafarevich group.

### 1. Torsion on elliptic curves

Let  $k$  be a field. An elliptic curve  $E$  over  $k$  is a non-singular curve of genus 1, together with a distinguished  $k$ -rational point  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . The Riemann-Roch theorem implies that linear series  $|\mathcal{O}_E|$  embeds  $E$  as a non-singular cubic curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , with the distinguished point  $\mathcal{O}_E$  the intersection with the line at infinity. The other points of  $E$  are solutions of a generalized Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6,$$

whose coefficients  $a_i$  all lie in  $k$ . This equation defines a non-singular curve if and only if a certain polynomial  $\Delta = \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_6)$  (the discriminant of the generalized Weierstrass equation) in the coefficients does not vanish.

If  $K/k$  is any field extension then the chord and tangent process turns  $E(K)$ , the set of points  $P = (x, y)$  of  $E$  with coordinates in  $K$ , into an abelian group, with the zero element being  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . The addition law has the following property:

$$P + Q + R = 0 \Leftrightarrow P, Q, R \text{ are collinear.}$$

For a point  $P = (x, y)$ , the equality  $P + (-P) + \mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_E$  tells us that

$$-P = (x, -y - a_1x - a_3).$$

In particular,

$$(1.1) \quad 2P = \mathcal{O}_E \Leftrightarrow P = -P \Leftrightarrow 2y + a_1x + a_3 = 0.$$

A short calculation shows that this is equivalent to asking that  $x$  satisfy the cubic equation  $4x^3 + b_2x^2 + 2b_4x + b_6 = 0$ , where the  $b_i$  are certain polynomial functions in the  $a_i$ . The discriminant of this cubic equation is equal to  $16 \cdot \Delta$ . If  $k$  is of characteristic 2, this polynomial factors as  $(a_1x + a_3)^2$ ; otherwise, as  $\Delta \neq 0$  the roots of this polynomial are distinct and

$$E[2](K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

for any field  $K/k$  that contains these roots (If  $A$  is an abelian group, then  $A[n]$  denotes the  $n$ -torsion of  $A$ ).

Let  $k^s$  denote a separable closure of  $k$ . If the characteristic of  $k$  is zero, and  $k^s \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then we have

$$E[n](k) \subset E[n](k^s) = E[n](\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Indeed, there exists a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  and an analytic isomorphism  $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  of complex Lie groups, which implies the second isomorphism above. To prove the first equality, one shows that there exists a polynomial  $Q_n$  in two variables, with coefficients in  $k$ , with the property that  $nP = \mathcal{O}_E$  if and only if  $Q_n(x, y) = 0$ . Therefore, if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/k)$  and  $nP = \mathcal{O}_E$ , then  $P^\sigma$  is also an  $n$ -torsion point. In particular,  $P$  has only finitely many conjugates over  $k$ ; so its co-ordinates must in fact be algebraic over  $k$ .

In general, we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer prime to the characteristic of  $k$ . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$E[n](k^s) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

*Moreover, all  $n$ -torsion points of  $E$  are defined over the field  $k^s$ , and multiplication by  $n$  is surjective on  $E(k^s)$ .*

On the other hand, if  $k$  is of characteristic 2 then we have seen that  $E[2](k)$  is either a cyclic group of order 2 (if  $a_1 \neq 0$ ), or is trivial (if  $a_1 = 0$ ). Indeed, the non-trivial 2-torsion points correspond to roots of the polynomial  $a_1x + a_3 = 0$ .

A standard reference for the results described above, which does not assume a large amount of algebraic geometry, is [49]. For proofs using scheme-theoretic language, in the more general setting of abelian varieties, see [40].

## 2. Galois cohomology

We continue with  $E/k$  as before. Let  $n > 1$  be an integer prime to the characteristic, and fix a choice of separable closure  $k^s$ . There is an exact sequence of  $\text{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow E[n] \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\times n} E \longrightarrow 0.$$

When we write  $E$  or  $E[n]$  here, we mean the points over  $k^s$ . Taking the long exact sequence in Galois cohomology, we get

$$E(k) \xrightarrow{\times n} E(k) \longrightarrow H^1(k, E[n]) \longrightarrow H^1(k, E) \xrightarrow{\times n} H^1(k, E).$$

This gives us a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(k)/nE(k) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(k, E[n]) \longrightarrow H^1(k, E)[n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map  $\delta$  sends the equivalence class of  $P$  to the cohomology class of the cocycle  $\sigma \mapsto Q^\sigma - Q$ , where  $Q$  is any point in  $E(k^s)$  with the property that  $nQ = P$ .

Now suppose that  $k$  is a global field. We can use the above exact sequence to define two important groups, as follows. For every place  $v$  of  $k$  we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(k)/nE(k) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(k, E[n]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, E)[n] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E(k_v)/nE(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(k_v, E[n]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k_v, E)[n] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

In the first row, the symbol  $E$  represents the Galois module  $E(k^s)$ , while in the second row  $E$  represents  $E(k_v^s)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The  $n$ -Selmer group is the group of those elements of  $H^1(k, E[n])$  whose restriction to  $H^1(k_v, E[n])$  lies in the image of the group  $E(k_v)/nE(k_v)$  for all places  $v$ . In other words, we define

$$\text{Sel}_n(k, E) = \ker \left( H^1(k, E[n]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(k_v, E) \right).$$

The *Tate-Shafarevitch group* is defined by

$$\text{III}(k, E) = \ker \left( H^1(k, E) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(k_v, E) \right).$$

We then have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(k)/nE(k) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_n(k, E) \longrightarrow \text{III}(k, E)[n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using classical theorems of algebraic number theory (the finiteness of the  $S$ -class group, and the finite generation of the  $S$ -unit group) one can show that the  $n$ -Selmer group is always finite. We prove this for  $n = 2$  in the next section. It is somewhat more difficult to calculate the  $n$ -Selmer group exactly, but this can be done in principle, and we illustrate this for some cases with  $n = 2$  in Appendix B. The fact that  $\text{Sel}_n(k, E)$  is always finite proves that the two groups  $E(k)/nE(k)$  and  $\text{III}(k, E)[n]$  are both finite, for any integer  $n \geq 1$ . The first finiteness statement is called the *weak Mordell-Weil theorem*. A proof of this theorem in the general case can be found in Ch. VIII of [49], or Ch. 4 of [37].

Tate [51] conjectured that the group  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is always *finite*. This is essentially a conjecture on the existence of  $k$ -rational points, which should account for most of the size of the Selmer groups. A formula for the hypothetical order  $\#\text{III}(k, E)$  appears in the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (cf. Conjecture 2.10). In all cases so far, the finiteness of the group  $\text{III}(k, E)$  has been proved along with the full conjecture.

### 3. A 2-descent

We continue with the notation of the previous section, and specialize to the case that  $n = 2$ , and suppose that all of the points of  $E[2]$  are already defined over  $k$ . We are going to make explicit the arguments of the previous section in this special case. Working this out for a specific  $n$  is often called “doing an  $n$ -descent,” hence the name of this section. The curve  $E$  can be given by an equation of the form

$$y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3),$$

which has  $\Delta = 16 \prod (e_i - e_j)^2$ . If  $B$  denotes the group  $k^\times / (k^\times)^2$ , written multiplicatively, we have an isomorphism (described below)

$$H^1(k, E[2]) \cong \{(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in B^3 : b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_3 = 1\} = B_1^3.$$

**Lemma 1.3.** *With this identification, the map  $\delta$  is given by the formula  $\delta(P) = (x - e_1, x - e_2, x - e_3)$  in  $B_1^3$  (suitably interpreted – if one of these entries vanishes, then we replace it with any element making the triple lie in the above subgroup).*

**PROOF.** The proof is based on the existence of the Weil pairing (cf. Ch. III of [49])  $e_2 : E[2] \times E[2] \rightarrow \mu_2 = \pm 1$ . It is bilinear, strictly alternating, and non-degenerate, so gives an injective homomorphism  $E[2] \rightarrow \mu_2^3$  defined by

$$P \mapsto (e_2(P, T_1), e_2(P, T_2), e_2(P, T_3))$$

where  $T_i = (e_i, 0)$  are the three non-trivial 2-torsion points. The image consists of the subgroup with product equal to 1, and Kummer theory provides the isomorphism used above.

We define the Weil pairing  $e_2$  as follows. Given a 2-torsion point  $T_i$ , find functions  $f_i, g_i$  in the function field  $k(E)$  of  $E$  with the property that  $\text{div } f_i = 2T_i - 2\mathcal{O}_E$ , and  $f_i \circ [2] = g_i^2$ . In our case, we can take  $f_i = x - e_i$ , and define  $e_2(S, T_i) = g_i(S + X)/g_i(X)$  for any point  $X$  such that  $g_i(S + X)$  and  $g_i(X)$  are both defined and not zero.

Now take  $P \in E(k)$ , and let  $Q \in E(k^s)$  be such that  $2Q = P$ . With the given identifications, the map  $\delta$  sends the point  $P$  to the cocycle

$$\{Q^\sigma - Q\}_\sigma = \{(e_2(Q^\sigma - Q, T_i))_i\}_\sigma = \{(g_i(Q^\sigma - Q + X)/g_i(X))_i\}_\sigma.$$

If  $P \neq T_i$  then on taking  $X = Q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(Q^\sigma - Q + X)/g_i(X) &= g_i(Q^\sigma)/g_i(Q) \\ &= g_i(Q)^\sigma/g_i(Q) = \sqrt{f_i(P)}^\sigma/\sqrt{f_i(P)}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the connecting homomorphism, the cohomology class of the cocycle

$$\{\sqrt{f_i(P)}^\sigma/\sqrt{f_i(P)}\}_\sigma$$

is the image of the element  $f_i(P)$  under the Kummer theory isomorphism

$$k^*/(k^*)^2 \rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_2).$$

The result follows.  $\square$

Let us now suppose that  $k$  is a global field, that is, a number field or the function field of a non-singular projective curve defined over a finite field. Let  $S$  be the set of places  $v$  which are either archimedean, or divide 2, or such that the  $e_i$  are not all integral at  $v$ , or such that  $v(\Delta) \neq 0$ . Note that  $S$  is a finite set. (We note that the curve  $E$  has good reduction at all places  $v$  which are not in the finite set  $S$  - cf. Appendix A).

**Lemma 1.4.** *For any  $v \notin S$ , and for any point  $P = (x, y)$  in  $E(k_v)$ ,  $v(x - e_i)$  is even for all  $i$ .*

PROOF. Suppose first that  $v(x - e_i) < 0$  for one, and hence for all,  $i$ . Then  $v(x - e_i)$  does not depend on  $i$  and the defining equation of  $E$  shows that  $3v(x - e_i) = 2v(y)$ , giving the result.

Suppose instead that  $v(x - e_i) > 0$  for one  $i$ . Since  $v(\Delta) = 0$ , we have  $v(e_i - e_j) = v(x - e_j - x + e_i) = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ , so  $v(x - e_j) = 0$ . Hence  $v(x - e_i) = 2v(y)$ .  $\square$

The above lemma shows that  $E(k)/2E(k)$  injects into the subgroup of  $B_1^3$  consisting of those elements with  $v(\alpha_i)$  even whenever  $v$  is not in  $S$ . But this subgroup is finite: let  $k^\times(S, 2)/(k^\times)^2 \subset k^\times/(k^\times)^2$  be the subgroup of elements with even valuation outside of  $S$ , and let  $A_S$  be the ring of  $S$ -integers of  $k$ ; that is, the subring of  $k$  consisting of elements having non-negative valuation at all places outside  $S$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow A_S^\times/(A_S^\times)^2 \longrightarrow k^\times(S, 2)/(k^\times)^2 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(A_S)[2] \longrightarrow 1$$

where the map to  $\text{Pic}(A_S)$  takes an element  $\alpha$  in  $k^\times(S, 2)$  generating the principal ideal  $(\alpha) = I^2$  to the class of the ideal  $I$ . The outside two terms are both finite, by

the finiteness of the class group  $\text{Pic}(A_S)$  and the finite generation of the unit group  $A_S^\times$  of  $A_S$ . It follows that  $E(k)/2E(k)$  is finite.

As an example, consider the curve given by the equation

$$E : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$$

over the field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ , of discriminant  $16t^2(t-1)^2$ , where  $q$  is an odd prime power. In this case  $S$  consists of the places  $t = 0, 1$  and  $\infty$ . An initial estimate for the structure of the 2-Selmer group is given by

$$\text{Sel}_2(k, E) \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6.$$

The group on the right is the one obtained by applying the local conditions only outside  $S$ : that is, it is the group

$$\ker \left( H^1(k, E[n]) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(k_v, E) \right).$$

Taking into account the local conditions at every place, we obtain the final result:

$$\text{Sel}_2(k, E) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

This is worked out in Appendix B, along with the computation of the 2-Selmer group for the twisted curve

$$E' : t(t-1)y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t),$$

which becomes isomorphic to  $E$  over the quadratic extension  $k(\sqrt{t(t-1)})$ . This curve also has bad reduction at the set  $S = 0, 1, \infty$  and the initial estimate given by considering places outside  $S$  is exactly the same. By completing the 2-descent at the primes of bad reduction, we obtain the formula

$$\text{Sel}_2(k, E') \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 & \text{if } -1 \text{ is not a square in } \mathbb{F}_q^\times; \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 & \text{if } -1 \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_q^\times. \end{cases}$$

#### 4. Heights

We continue to assume that  $E$  is an elliptic curve over the global field  $k$ . Let  $n$  be a non-zero integer. The finiteness of  $E(k)/nE(k)$  is a necessary condition for the finite generation of  $E(k)$ , but by no means a sufficient one. For example,  $E(\mathbb{C})/nE(\mathbb{C})$  is trivial for all  $n \neq 0$ . To extract a proof of the full Mordell-Weil theorem from the weak version, we introduce the Néron-Tate height. This is a pairing  $\langle, \rangle : E(k) \times E(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (1)  $\langle, \rangle$  is biadditive and symmetric.
- (2) For all  $P \in E(k)$ ,  $\langle P, P \rangle \geq 0$ .
- (3) For all  $M > 0$ , the set of points  $P$  such that  $\langle P, P \rangle \leq M$  is finite.

**Remark.** The existence of such a pairing has the immediate corollary that the torsion group  $E(k)_{\text{tors}}$  is finite. In fact, one can show that a point  $P$  is torsion if and only if  $\langle P, P \rangle = 0$ .

We will give the definition of the height pairing on the Jacobian of a curve in lecture 3. In the case that  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , an approximation to the height can be given as follows. Taking a point to its  $x$  co-ordinate gives a map from  $E$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Writing  $x(P) = a/b$  with  $a, b$  coprime integers, we define the logarithmic height to be  $h(P) = \log \max(|a|, |b|)$ . Then the difference  $\langle P, P \rangle - h(P)$  is bounded as  $P$

ranges over  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Tate observed that the sequence  $s_n = h(nP)/n^2$  is Cauchy, and converges to the value  $\langle P, P \rangle$ .

With the height pairing in hand, we can deduce the full Mordell-Weil theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $k$  be a global field,  $E/k$  an elliptic curve. Then  $E(k)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.*

PROOF. Choose an integer  $n > 1$ , and let the points  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  be representatives for the finite group  $E(k)/nE(k)$ . Let  $M = \max_i \langle P_i, P_i \rangle$ , and let  $T$  be the set of points  $P$  such that  $\langle P, P \rangle \leq M$ . This is a finite set.

In fact, the points in  $T$  generate  $E(k)$ . Otherwise, there exists a point  $Q$  of minimal height which is not in the span of  $T$ . Since the  $P_i$  represent the cosets of  $nE(k)$ , there exists an  $i$  and a point  $R$  in  $E(k)$  such that  $Q - P_i = nR$ . Since  $Q$ ,  $P_i$  and the origin are not all collinear in the Euclidean space  $E(k) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , we find

$$\langle Q - P_i, Q - P_i \rangle < 4 \cdot \langle Q, Q \rangle,$$

so

$$n^2 \cdot \langle R, R \rangle < 4 \cdot \langle Q, Q \rangle.$$

By the minimality of the height of  $Q$ , the point  $R$  must lie in the span of  $T$ . This implies that the same is true of  $Q = nR + P_i$ , which is the desired contradiction.  $\square$

With this theorem in hand we can define an important invariant of elliptic curves over global fields.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $n$  be the rank of the group  $E(k)$  and let  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be a basis for a free subgroup of finite index  $I$  in  $E(k)$ . The *regulator of  $E$*  is defined to be the positive real number

$$R(E/k) = \det(\langle P_i, P_j \rangle) / I^2.$$

One can check that this definition does not depend on the choice of the points  $P_i$ . For example, if  $E(k)$  is finite, then  $R(E/k) = 1/\#E(k)^2$ .

We will see in lecture 3 that when  $E$  is an elliptic curve over a global function field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ , then  $\langle P, Q \rangle$  is a rational multiple of  $\log q$ , where the denominator is controlled by the places of bad reduction. The regulator  $R(E/k)$  is then a positive rational multiple of  $(\log q)^n$ .

The theory of heights is developed for elliptic curves in [49]. A rather direct approach, treating just the case when  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , can be found in [9]. For a discussion of Néron's theory of local heights on curves, see [23], or the discussion in the third lecture.

Conjectures on  $L$ -functions

Before stating the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, we must define the  $L$ -function of an elliptic curve over a global field  $k$ . It is given as an Euler product, the factors being indexed by the finite places of  $k$ . We begin by defining the incomplete  $L$ -function, which omits the finite number of places at which  $E$  has bad reduction. (For a discussion of the notion of reduction of elliptic curves, as well as a general discussion of elliptic curves over local fields, see Appendix A.) We then define the complete  $L$ -function, describe its analytic properties, and state the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. We end with some examples over function fields and number fields, where the implications of this conjecture are explored.

1. The incomplete  $L$ -function

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over the global field  $k$ . Let  $S$  be the finite set of places of  $k$  consisting of the infinite places and the places where  $E$  has bad reduction. This means that for all places  $v \notin S$ , there is a model of  $E$  with the coefficients  $a_i$  lying in the local ring  $A_v$  at  $v$  and with the discriminant  $\Delta = \Delta_v$  a unit in  $A_v$ . Let  $\pi_v$  be a uniformizing element in  $A_v$  and let  $A_v/\pi_v A_v = \mathbb{F}_v$  be the finite residue field, of cardinality  $q_v$ . For  $v \notin S$ , we get an elliptic curve  $\tilde{E}_v$  over the residue field  $\mathbb{F}_v$ .

The following theorem is fundamental for elliptic curves over finite fields.

**Theorem 2.1** (Hasse). *Write  $\#\tilde{E}_v(\mathbb{F}_v) = 1 + q_v - a_v$ , where  $v \notin S$ . Then*

$$a_v^2 \leq 4q_v,$$

*so the roots of the polynomial*

$$h_v(t) = 1 - a_v t + q_v t^2 = (1 - \alpha_v t)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_v t)$$

*lie in an imaginary quadratic field. Furthermore, if  $F_n/\mathbb{F}_v$  is the unique field extension of degree  $n \geq 1$ , then*

$$\#\tilde{E}_v(F_n) = (q_v^n + 1) - (\alpha_v^n + \bar{\alpha}_v^n).$$

PROOF. See [49], Thm. V.1.1. □

These point counts on  $E$  over finite places are the key ingredient in defining its corresponding  $L$ -function. The polynomial  $h_v(t)$  is the reciprocal of the *formal local  $L$ -factor* of an elliptic curve over a finite field:

$$L(\tilde{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v, t) = h_v(t)^{-1}.$$

We substitute  $q_v^{-s}$  for  $t$  to get the *local L-factor*

$$(2.1) \quad L_v(E/k, s) = L(\tilde{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v, q_v^{-s}) = (1 - a_v q_v^{-s} + q_v^{1-2s})^{-1}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** With notation as above, the *incomplete L-function* of  $E$  is

$$(2.2) \quad L_S(E/k, s) = \prod_{v \notin S} L_v(E/k, s).$$

Both this infinite product and the Dirichlet series  $L_S(E/k, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$  with integral coefficients which is formally assembled from it converge absolutely in the half plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 3/2$ . This follows from the estimate in the above theorem and comparison with the Dedekind zeta-function of  $k$ . Note that when  $k$  is a function field, this Dirichlet series is of the form  $\sum_{m \geq 0} b_m q^{-ms}$  where  $q$  is the cardinality of the constant field of  $k$ .

The formal Euler product (2.2) determines  $E$  up to isogeny over  $k$ . See Appendix C for this fact and a discussion of the  $l$ -adic Tate module  $T_l E$  of  $E$ .

## 2. The $L$ -function of an elliptic curve

The general formalism of  $L$ -functions suggests that the  $L$ -function of an elliptic curve  $E$  over a global field  $k$  should have a meromorphic continuation to the whole of  $\mathbb{C}$  and satisfy a functional equation. Before this can be true we must add some factors to the incomplete  $L$ -function, corresponding to the infinite places and the finite places of bad reduction.

Recall that  $S$  is the set of infinite places, together with the places where  $E$  has bad reduction. For finite  $v \in S$ , we define the local  $L$ -factor

$$(2.3) \quad L_v(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E \text{ has additive reduction at } v; \\ (1 - q_v^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative} \\ & \text{reduction at } v; \\ (1 + q_v^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } E \text{ has non-split multiplicative} \\ & \text{reduction at } v. \end{cases}$$

A common feature of local  $L$ -factors at good and bad finite places is that

$$(2.4) \quad L_v(1) = \frac{q_v}{\#\tilde{E}_v^{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_v)},$$

where  $\tilde{E}_v^{\text{ns}}$  is the smooth locus of the reduction of a minimal model for  $E$  over  $A_v$ .

We can now define the global  $L$ -function.

**Definition 2.3.** The  $L$ -function of  $E/k$  is

$$(2.5) \quad L(E/k, s) = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} L_v(E/k, s).$$

When  $k$  is a number field, we also add factors at the infinite places, setting

$$\Lambda(E/k, s) = ((2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s))^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} L(E/k, s).$$

**Remark.** The definition of the local factors in the Euler product of Artin  $L$ -functions form the prototype for general arithmetic  $L$ -functions. These conventions show that the common features of the  $L$ -factors  $L_v(E/k, s)$  at good and bad places

go beyond their values at  $s = 1$ . Namely, if  $\rho : \text{Gal}(k^s/k) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}) = GL(V)$  is an Artin representation, the  $L$ -function attached to it is

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_{\text{finite } v} (1 - q_v^{-s} \text{Frob}_v | V^{I_v})^{-1},$$

where  $I_v$  is an inertia group at  $v$ ,  $V^{I_v}$  denotes the invariants of  $I_v$ , and  $\text{Frob}_v$  is a geometric Frobenius element for  $v$ . Likewise, the  $L$ -function of an elliptic curve may be written

$$L(E/k, s) = \prod_{\text{finite } v} (1 - q_v^{-s} \text{Frob}_v | (V_\ell E^\vee)^{I_v})^{-1}.$$

To see the compatibility at good places of this formulation of  $L(E/k, s)$  with our definition, see Appendix C. In particular, compare the characteristic polynomial of arithmetic Frobenius in Equation (C.1) with our definition of  $L$ -factors at good places.

The following two quantities measure bad reduction.

**Definition 2.4.** The *minimal discriminant* of an elliptic curve  $E$  over a number field (resp. global function field)  $k$  is the integral ideal of  $k$  (resp. positive divisor of the curve associated to the function field  $k$ ) defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(E/k) = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} \mathfrak{p}_v^{v(\Delta_v)},$$

where  $\Delta_v$  is the discriminant of a minimal equation for  $E/k_v$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  is the prime ideal (resp. prime divisor) associated to the finite place  $v$ .

**Remark.** Suppose that  $k$  is a number field, with ring of integers  $A$ . We say that a Weierstrass model for  $E$  is a *global minimal model* if it is a minimal model at all finite places  $v$  of  $k$ . Such a model exists if and only if a certain projective  $A$ -module of rank 1, corresponding to the Néron differentials, has trivial class in  $\text{Pic}(A)$ . If such a model exists, then the discriminant ideal  $\mathcal{D}(E/k)$  is principal, generated by the discriminant  $\Delta$  of a global minimal model. For more details, see [49], Ch. VIII, §8.

**Definition 2.5.** The *conductor* of  $E$  is the integral ideal or positive divisor given by

$$N(E/k) = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} \mathfrak{p}_v^{f_v},$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad f_v = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction at } v \\ 1 & \text{if } E \text{ has multiplicative reduction at } v \\ 2 + \delta_v & \text{if } E \text{ has additive reduction at } v, \end{cases}$$

and where  $\delta_v$  is a non-negative integer depending on the action of wild inertia at  $v$  on  $T_l E$ . It is zero whenever the characteristic of  $v$  is not equal to 2 or 3.

**Remark.** In fact, the conductor of  $E$  is the Artin conductor of the Tate module of  $E$  [47]. It is related to  $\mathcal{D}(E/k)$  by Ogg's formula [42]

$$f_v = \text{ord}_v(\mathcal{D}(E/k)) + 1 - m_v,$$

where  $m_v$  is the number of irreducible components of the Néron model of  $E$  at  $v$ .

The following theorem is due to Grothendieck, and uses étale cohomology [27].

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$  be the function field of the geometrically irreducible, non-singular curve  $X$  of genus  $g$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $k$ . Then  $L(E/k, s)$  is a rational function of  $q^{-s}$  of degree  $\deg N(E/k) + 4g - 4$ , and satisfies the functional equation*

$$L(E/k, s) = \pm A^{1-s} L(E/k, 2-s),$$

with  $A = q^{\deg N(E/k) + 4g - 4}$ . Moreover, if  $E$  is not a constant curve over  $k$ , then  $L(E/k, s)$  is a polynomial in  $q^{-s}$  with constant coefficient 1.

We recall that  $X$  is geometrically irreducible if the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in  $k$  is equal to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A constant curve  $E/k$  is one that arises from extension of scalars of an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (cf. Lecture 3, §1). The rationality of  $L(E/k, s)$  follows from the Lefschetz fixed point theorem, and the functional equation from a version of Poincaré duality. A sketch of the proof can be found in Appendix D.

In the case of elliptic curves over number fields, we have the analogous conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.7.** *Let  $k$  be a number field, and  $E/k$  an elliptic curve. Then the complex analytic function  $\Lambda(E/k, s)$  on the right half plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 3/2$  admits an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies the functional equation*

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda(E/k, s) = \pm A^{1-s} \Lambda(E/k, 2-s),$$

where  $A$  is the product of the absolute norm of  $N(E/k)$  with the square of the discriminant of  $k$ .

Wiles and Taylor proved this conjecture in the important case when  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and the conductor  $N(E/k)$  is square-free [60], [56]. Their methods were extended to cover all elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [4]. Some other cases when  $k$  is a totally real number field are known.

**Remark.** If Conjecture 2.7 is true, then the sign  $\pm$  in the functional equation, which is known in general as a *root number*, is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \pm = (-1)^{\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E/k, s)},$$

since the reflection point of the functional equation is  $s = 1$ . We note that the global sign is a product of local root numbers, all of which are  $\pm 1$  and almost all of which are  $+1$  [25].

An overview of the topics of this section, with further references, may be found in [49], §C.16.

### 3. Periods

We continue with the notations of the previous section. The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer connects the behavior of  $L(E/k, s)$  near the point  $s = 1$  to the rank of  $E(k)$ , and to some further arithmetic invariants of  $E$ . In the previous lecture, we defined several of these invariants, namely the Tate-Shafarevitch group  $\text{III}(k, E)$  and the regulator  $R(E/k)$ . It remains to define the global period of  $E$ .

Recall that an elliptic curve has an invariant differential that is unique up to scalar multiple. For each place  $v$  of  $k$  let  $\omega_v$  be a non-zero invariant differential for

$E/k_v$ . Together with the choice of a Haar measure  $dx_v$  on the additive group of  $k_v$ , this gives us a Haar measure  $|\omega_v|$  on the compact group  $E(k_v)$ .

**Lemma 2.8** (Tate [54]). *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $k_v$  where  $v$  is a finite place of  $k$ , and choose a minimal Weierstrass model for  $E$ . Let  $\omega_v$  be an invariant differential, defined over  $A_v$ , which does not vanish modulo  $\pi_v A_v$ , and let  $dx_v$  be the Haar measure on  $k_v$  which gives  $A_v$  volume 1. Then*

$$\int_{E(k_v)} |\omega_v| = \frac{[E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)]}{L_v(1)}.$$

PROOF. See Appendix A. □

Choose a non-zero invariant differential  $\omega$  on  $E/k$ ; it gives an invariant differential which we denote  $\omega_v$  on  $E/k_v$  for all places  $v$ . Also, choose a decomposition

$$(2.9) \quad dx = \otimes_v dx_v$$

of the Haar measure  $dx$  on the adèles  $\mathbb{A}$  of  $k$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{A}/k} dx = 1$  (so  $dx_v$  is a Haar measure on  $k_v$ ).

**Definition 2.9.** The *period* of  $E/k$  is

$$P(E/k) = P(\omega) = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} \left( L_v(1) \cdot \int_{E(k_v)} |\omega_v| \right) \cdot \prod_{v \mid \infty} \int_{E(k_v)} |\omega_v|.$$

To see that this quantity is well defined we make the following observations. First, the conditions of Lemma 2.8 are satisfied for almost all finite  $v$ . That is:  $\int_{A_v} dx_v = 1$ ,  $\omega_v$  is defined over  $A_v$ , and  $\omega_v$  does not vanish modulo  $\pi_v$ . Second, we note that  $E^0 \neq E$  for only finitely many finite places  $v$ , so that by Lemma 2.8 the product defining  $P(E/k)$  has almost all terms equal to 1.

It remains to show that the period is independent of the choice of invariant differential. This is the case, because a rescaling  $\omega \mapsto \lambda\omega$  by  $\lambda \in k^\times$  modifies  $P$  by the factor

$$\prod_v |\lambda|_v = 1,$$

by the product formula. Therefore  $P(E/k)$  is an invariant of the elliptic curve  $E/k$ .

**Remark.** The observations above amount to an introduction to adelic integration in the particular case of an elliptic curve. In this setting the  $L_v(1)$  play the role of the “convergence factors” of [59], Ch. 2.

**Example.** Let  $k$  be a function field of genus  $g$ , with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then

$$(2.10) \quad P(E/k) = \prod_{\text{bad } v} [E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)] / \left( q^{g-1 + \frac{1}{12} \deg \mathcal{D}(E/k)} \right).$$

The factor  $q^{-(g-1)}$  reflects the volume  $\int_{\mathbb{A}/k} dx = q^{g-1}$  of  $\mathbb{A}/k$  under the usual product measure ([59], §2.1.3), and the factor  $q^{-\frac{1}{12} \deg \mathcal{D}(E/k)}$  stems from the obstruction to the existence of an everywhere integral Néron differential.

**Example.** Let  $k$  be a number field. If there exists a Néron differential  $\omega$  over the ring of integers  $A$  of  $k$ , which has non-zero reduction modulo every prime, then

$$(2.11) \quad P(E/k) = \prod_{v \mid \infty} \int_{E(k_v)} |\omega|_v \cdot \prod_{v \text{ bad}} [E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)] \cdot |D_k|^{-1/2},$$

where  $D_k$  is the discriminant of  $k$ . The discriminant appears because under the usual product measure the compact quotient  $\mathbb{A}/k$  has volume  $|D_k|^{1/2}$  ([59], §2.1.3).

As the above formulae show, the global period can be computed from local invariants of the curve  $E$  and global invariants ( $g$  and  $D_k$ ) of the field  $k$ .

#### 4. The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

We now have all of the definitions in place in order to state the precise form of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.10.** *Let  $E/k$  be an elliptic curve over a global field, and assume that  $L(E/k, s)$  has a meromorphic continuation to a neighborhood of the point  $s = 1$ .*

- (1) *If  $n$  is the rank of the finitely generated abelian group  $E(k)$ , then*

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/k, s) = n.$$

- (2) *Let  $c(E/k)$  be the leading term in the Taylor expansion at  $s = 1$ , that is*

$$L(E/k, s) \sim c(E/k) \cdot (s - 1)^n \text{ as } s \rightarrow 1.$$

$$\text{Then } c(E/k) = P(E/k) \cdot R(E/k) \cdot \#\text{III}(k, E).$$

For another discussion of this conjecture, with a reward attached, see [61]. Note that the formula for the leading term assumes Tate's conjecture that the Tate-Shafarevitch group  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is finite.

A naïve original motivation for this conjecture is that one might expect a larger number of rational points to yield larger numbers of points on reductions modulo finite places. Then the partial products of the infinite product

$$L_S(E/k, 1) \text{ " = " } \prod_{\text{good } v} L_v(E/k, 1) = q_v / \#\tilde{E}_v(\mathbb{F}_v)$$

would tend to be relatively smaller. The original conjecture and the numerical evidence that led to it are recorded in [2].

**Remark.** A much weaker form of Conjecture 2.10 is the parity conjecture, that  $\pm$ , the sign of the functional equation of  $E$  is equal to  $(-1)^{\text{rank}(E(k))}$  [16]. Compare the remark after Conjecture 2.7.

**Remark.** One knows (cf. Appendix C) that the  $L$ -function is an isogeny invariant of  $E/k$ . The individual terms  $P(E/k)$ ,  $R(E/k)$ , and  $\#\text{III}(k, E)$  which occur in the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer are isomorphism invariants, and can change when we modify  $E$  by an isogeny. However, their product is an isogeny invariant [8], [55], [39].

**Remark.** Bloch [3] defines an extension of commutative algebraic groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^n \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 1$$

over  $k$ , where  $n$  is the rank of  $E(k)$ . The existence of such an extension is a consequence of the theorem of Serre-Rosenlicht [46], VII, Thm. 6, which gives a natural isomorphism between the groups  $\text{Ext}_k(E, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $\text{Pic}^0(E)(k)$ , the latter being naturally identified with the  $k$ -rational points of  $E$ .

The  $L$ -function for  $G$  is the product

$$L(G/k, s) = L(E/k, s)\zeta_k(s)^n,$$

where  $\zeta_k(s)$  is the Dedekind zeta-function of  $k$ . Therefore we expect  $L(G/k, s)$  to be regular and non-zero at  $s = 1$ . Bloch shows that  $G(k)$  is discrete and co-compact in  $G(\mathbb{A})$ , where  $\mathbb{A}$  is the ring of adèles of  $k$ , and calculates the volume of the quotient group  $G(\mathbb{A})/G(k)$  in terms of the period and regulator of  $E/k$ . He shows that the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for  $E$  would follow from standard conjectures on Tamagawa numbers, applied to the algebraic group  $G$ .

### 5. Examples of elliptic curves over function fields

We present two examples of non-constant elliptic curves where the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer is known to be true. In both cases,  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X) = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ .

**Example.** Suppose that  $q$  is odd, and consider the elliptic curve  $E/k$  given by the equation

$$y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t), \text{ of discriminant } \Delta = 16t^2(t-1)^2.$$

Making the change of variable  $s = 1/t$  gives the equation

$$y^2 = x(x-s)(x-s^2), \text{ of discriminant } \Delta' = 16s^8(s-1)^2.$$

A change of variable changes the valuation of the discriminant at each place by a multiple of 12; it follows that the above equations are both minimal at every place at which they are integral. We see that  $E$  has multiplicative reduction at the places  $t = 0, 1$  and additive reduction at  $t = \infty$ , and the conductor and minimal discriminant are given by (cf. Equation 2.6)

$$N(E/k) = (0) + (1) + 2(\infty) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}(E/k) = 2(0) + 2(1) + 8(\infty).$$

An application of Tate's algorithm shows that the reduction at the bad places  $t = 0, 1, \infty$  are of Kodaira types  $I_2, I_2$  and  $I_2^*$  respectively [54].

To calculate the period  $P(E/k)$ , we need to know the quantities  $\deg \mathcal{D}(E/k) = 12$ , the genus  $g(X) = 0$ , and the indices  $[E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)]$  at the places of bad reduction  $t = 0, 1, \infty$ , which are 2, 2, and 4, respectively, cf. [54]. Using Equation (2.10), we find that

$$P(E/k) = \prod_{\text{bad } v} [E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)] = 2_0 \cdot 2_1 \cdot 4_\infty = 16.$$

To calculate the regulator  $R(E/k)$ , we note that

$$E(k) = \{\mathcal{O}_E, (0, 0), (1, 0), (t, 0)\}.$$

This can be deduced from the computation of the Selmer group, along with knowledge of the torsion subgroup of  $E(k)$ , which is easy to calculate. Hence

$$R(E/k) = \#E(k)^{-2} = 1/16.$$

Finally, since the degree of  $N(E/k)$  is 4, Proposition D.1 implies that  $L(E/k, s) = 1$ . Now, the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is known for this elliptic curve, since  $E$  is the generic fiber of a pencil of elliptic curves on a rational surface (cf. the next lecture). It follows that

$$1 = L(E/k, 1) = P(E/k) \cdot R_E \cdot \#\text{III}(k, E) = \#\text{III}(k, E),$$

so the Tate-Shafarevitch group is trivial. The fact that the 2-torsion in  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is trivial follows from the descent calculation of Appendix B.

**Example.** Assume that  $q = p^f$  where  $p$  is an odd prime. Let  $E'/k$  be the elliptic curve defined by

$$E' : t(t-1)y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t).$$

The minimal regular model of  $E'$  over  $X$  is a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In this case, the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer is also known to be true. We now examine its consequences.

A similar computation to the one above reveals that

$$N(E'/k) = 2(0) + 2(1) + 2(\infty) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}(E'/k) = 8(0) + 8(1) + 8(\infty).$$

Proposition D.1 then implies that  $L(E'/k, s)$  is a polynomial of degree 2 in  $q^{-s}$ . After a calculation (cf. section 8.8 of [31]) one finds that this polynomial is

$$L(E'/k, s) = (1 - \alpha q^{-s})(1 - \beta q^{-s}),$$

with

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha = (a + bi)^{2f}, & \beta = \bar{\alpha} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \alpha = p^f = q, & \beta = (-p)^f & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $a, b$  are the unique positive integers such that  $a$  is odd and  $p = a^2 + b^2$ . Let  $\gamma = a + bi$ , and write  $\gamma^f = A + Bi$ .

In the case that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we evaluate the  $L$ -function at  $s = 1$  to get

$$L(E'/k, 1) = \frac{|\gamma^f - \bar{\gamma}^f|^2}{q} \neq 0.$$

Equation (2.10) implies that  $P(E'/k) = 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4/q$  since  $[E'(k_v) : E'^0(k_v)] = 4$  at the places  $t = 0, 1$ , and  $\infty$ , and  $\deg \mathcal{D}(E'/k) = 24$ . Since the  $L$ -function does not vanish at  $s = 1$ , the group  $E'(k)$  is finite. In fact,  $\#E'(k) = 4$  and  $R(E'/k) = 1/16$ . Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture implies that

$$\#\text{III}(k, E') = \frac{|\gamma^f - \bar{\gamma}^f|^2}{4} = B^2.$$

The fact that  $\text{III}(k, E')$  has even order is consistent with the descent calculation in lecture 1.

Suppose that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then Equation (2.12) implies that  $L(E'/k, s)$  vanishes at  $s = 1$  to order 1 if  $f$  is odd and to order 2 if  $f$  is even. We now split our discussion into cases according to the parity of  $f$ .

Let  $f$  be odd. One finds that  $L(E'/k, 1) = 0$  and  $L'(E'/k, 1) = 2 \log q$ , so by the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture  $E'(k)$  has rank 1. As before,  $P(E'/k) = 64/q$ . If we take  $P$  to be a generator modulo torsion then  $E'(k) = \mathbb{Z}P \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ , so

$$R(E'/k) = \langle P, P \rangle / 4^2,$$

which as we mentioned at the end of the previous lecture is equal to  $r \log q / 4^2$  where  $r$  is rational. In fact  $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ; the height pairing takes on integral values except for contributions from places of bad reduction, the denominators of which can be bounded explicitly in terms of the Kodaira type, cf. lecture 3.

Applying the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, we see that

$$2 \log q = L'(E'/k, 1) = c(E'/k) = \frac{4r \log q}{q} \#\text{III}(k, E'),$$

so  $\#\text{III}(k, E') \cdot 2r = q$ . The existence of the Cassels-Tate pairing (cf. [7], [55]) implies that  $\text{III}(k, E')$  has square order, and consequently, for all odd  $f$ ,  $\text{III}(k, E')$

is a  $p$ -group and the denominator of  $r$  is equal to 2. In fact, Elkies has shown that  $r = \frac{p}{2}$ , for all odd  $f$ , so  $\text{III}(k, E')$  is non-trivial once  $f > 1$ .

We now consider the case when  $f$  is even. Observe that  $L(E'/k, s)$  vanishes to order 2 at  $s = 1$  with leading term equal to  $(\log q)^2$ , so that by the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, the Mordell-Weil group  $E'(k)$  has rank two. Just as in the case where  $f$  is odd,  $P(E'/k) = 64/q$ , and the height pairing on generators modulo torsion takes values in  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\log q$ . Since the regulator is a determinant of a 2-by-2 matrix of such pairings,  $R(E'/k)$  has the form  $r(\log q)^2/4^2$  where  $r \in \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z}$ .

Applying the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, we see that

$$(\log q)^2 = \frac{L''(E'/k, 1)}{2} = c(E'/k) = \frac{4r(\log q)^2}{q} \cdot \#\text{III}(k, E'),$$

so  $\#\text{III}(k, E') \cdot 4r = q$ . Arguing as in the odd case, we may conclude that the denominator of  $r$  is equal to 4 and that  $\text{III}(k, E')$  is a  $p$ -group. In fact, Elkies has shown that  $r = \frac{p^2}{4}$ , for all even  $f$ , so  $\text{III}(k, E')$  is non-trivial once  $f > 2$ .

## 6. Examples of elliptic curves over number fields

**Example.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 + y = x^3 - x$$

of conductor  $N = 37$ . We will calculate some of the invariants of  $E$  to show what the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture implies.

Let  $v$  be a place of good reduction. By Proposition A.3, the reduction map  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_v)$  is injective on  $n$ -torsion when  $n$  is prime to  $v$ . In this case we have

$$\#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_2) = 5 \text{ and } \#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_3) = 7,$$

and so  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is torsion-free. Since  $P = (0, 0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  is not equal to  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , the rank of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  must be at least one. In fact, one can check that  $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}P$  via a descent argument similar to the one outlined in the previous lecture.

Next, we will calculate the period of  $E$ . The Tamagawa factors  $[E(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E^0(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$  are trivial at all places of good reduction, and applying Tate's algorithm and looking at the table in [54], noting that  $E$  has multiplicative reduction at 37 with  $\text{ord}_{37}(j(E)) = -1$ , shows that  $E = E^0$  at  $p = 37$  as well. Equation (2.11) now gives  $P(E/k) = \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} |\omega|$ . The BSD conjecture predicts that  $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) = 0$  and

$$(2.13) \quad L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) = \#\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, E) \cdot \langle P, P \rangle \cdot \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} |\omega|,$$

where  $\omega$  is a Néron differential on  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ .

The sign in the functional equation of  $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$  is equal to  $-1$ , so the  $L$ -function vanishes to odd order at  $s = 1$ . One can compute the value  $L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1)$ , which is non-zero. Hence the  $L$ -function vanishes to order exactly 1, i.e.  $E$  has analytic rank 1. Via the work of Kolyvagin [32] and Gross-Zagier [26], the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is known for curves of analytic rank 0 and 1, up to some ambiguity in the leading term (cf. lecture 3). In this case, the full conjecture is known to be true. We have

$$L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) = \langle P, P \rangle \cdot \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} |\omega|$$

and the group  $\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$  is trivial.

**Example.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be the elliptic curve

$$(2.14) \quad E : y^2 + y = x^3 - 7x + 6,$$

of conductor  $N = 5077$ . Brumer and Kramer found that this is the elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rank 3 with smallest conductor, making it an interesting case study for elliptic curves of higher rank. It also has 36 points with integral coordinates on the minimal model. It has no non-trivial torsion because its reductions modulo 2 and 3 are the same as in the above example. Also, as in the previous example,  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p) = E^0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  for all  $p$  because  $\text{ord}_{5077}(j(E)) = -1$ .

In [6] the authors make a detailed study of the invariants of  $E$ , which together with the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer would imply that  $\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, E) = 1$ . We now review these computations. Via descent and height calculations, one can show that the points  $P_1 = (0, 2)$ ,  $P_2 = (1, 0)$ , and  $P_3 = (2, 0)$  generate  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ , i.e.

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}P_1 + \mathbb{Z}P_2 + \mathbb{Z}P_3.$$

A calculation of the real period  $\int_{E(\mathbb{R})} |\omega|$ , the regulator  $R(E/\mathbb{Q})$ , and the  $L$ -function around  $s = 1$  suggests that that  $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$  vanishes to order 3 around  $s = 1$  with leading term

$$c(E/k) \approx R(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} |\omega| = 1.73185\dots,$$

accurate to 28 decimal places. (In fact, we can show that the order of vanishing is equal to 3, using the argument in the next paragraph.) This calculation suggests strongly that  $\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$  is trivial, but we still don't know if this group is finite.

**Remark.** These examples of elliptic curves with rank 3 were important in finding the first effective lower bound on class numbers of positive definite binary quadratic forms, completing the program of Goldfeld [22], [21]. Namely, the Gross-Zagier formula [26] implies that for an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  whose  $L$ -function has a odd-order zero at  $s = 1$ , there exists a computable point  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) = c\langle P, P \rangle,$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant. If the point  $P$  is torsion,  $\langle P, P \rangle = 0$  and the first derivative vanishes. This argument applied to the elliptic curve defined in equation (2.14) allows one to show that  $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$  vanishes to order 3 at  $s = 1$ .

## Progress to Date

In this lecture, we review the progress that has been made towards a proof of the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. In the function field case, this work is related to the conjecture of Artin and Tate for the Néron-Severi group of a surface over a finite field. We give some examples, both for constant and non-constant curves. In the number field case, most of the progress has come from the study of special points on Shimura curves over totally real fields, and the images of certain divisors supported on these points in the Jacobian. These ideas, due to Shimura and Birch, represent a new chapter in the theory of complex multiplication. We end with a summary of Néron's theory of local heights on curves, which is essential in the calculation of the heights of these divisor classes.

## 1. Results over function fields

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q = p^f$  elements, and  $X$  a non-singular, geometrically irreducible, projective curve, defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$  be the function field of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (M. Artin - Tate [55]). *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve, defined over  $k$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/k, s) \geq \text{rank } E(k),$$

and the following are equivalent:

- (1) Equality holds above.
- (2)  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is finite.
- (3)  $\text{III}(k, E)[l^\infty]$  is finite for a single prime  $l$ .
- (4) The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer is true for the curve  $E$  over  $k$ .

The curve  $E$  can be considered as the generic fiber of an elliptic surface  $S/\mathbb{F}_q$  which is fibered over  $X$ . In this case  $E(k)$  is closely connected to the Néron-Severi group  $NS(S)$  of  $S$ . Motivated by this, Artin and Tate formulated a more general conjecture, similar in spirit to the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, relating the rank of the Néron-Severi group and some other geometric invariants of a general surface  $S$  to its zeta function. In this setting, the cohomological Brauer group  $H^2(S, \mathbb{G}_m)$  plays the role of the Tate-Shafarevich group.

In [55] Tate gives the translation of the above theorem into this language and a proof of the “prime-to- $p$ ” part of the conjecture, using  $l$ -adic étale cohomology for  $l \neq p$ . Milne completed the proof in [36], using more sophisticated cohomology theories capable of handling the complications at the prime  $p$ . We give a brief sketch.

We recall that for a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field, the Néron-Severi group is defined as the group of divisors modulo algebraic

equivalence, cf. [29]. It is a finitely generated abelian group. We take  $S$  to be the minimal regular model of  $E$  over  $X$ . Then  $NS(S)$  is defined to be the image of  $\text{Pic}(S)$  in  $NS(S \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ . We then have injections

$$E(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \hookrightarrow NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \hookrightarrow H^2(S \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1),$$

the latter arrow coming from the cycle map in étale cohomology. We remark that the first arrow is a slight modification of the obvious map, cf. [48]. Moreover, we have an exact sequence for every  $l \neq p$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \longrightarrow (H^2(S \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1))^{G_{\mathbb{F}}_q} \longrightarrow V_l \text{III}(k, E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here  $V_l \text{III}(k, E) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l, \text{III}(k, E)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$  is the analogue of the  $l$ -adic Tate module for the torsion group  $\text{III}(k, E)$ . It vanishes if and only if  $\text{III}(k, E)[l^\infty]$  is finite. We note that the map  $E(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \rightarrow NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$  need not be surjective, but its cokernel is well understood. In particular, there is a formula

$$\text{rank } NS(S) = \text{rank } E(k) + 2 + \sum_{t \in X} (m_t - 1),$$

where  $m_t$  is the number of irreducible components in the fiber above  $t$ . We refer to [48] for more details.

The zeta-function of  $S$  is given by the formula

$$\prod_i P_i(S, q^{-s})^{(-1)^{i+1}}, \text{ where } P_i(S, t) = \det(1 - \text{Frob } t | H^i(S, \mathbb{Q}_l)).$$

Tate's geometric conjecture can be rephrased in terms of the order of vanishing of  $P_2(S, q^{-s})$  at  $s = 1$ , because Deligne has proved that the eigenvalues of  $\text{Frob}$  on  $H^i(S, \mathbb{Q}_l)$  have absolute value  $q^{i/2}$ . Looking at the above exact sequence, we see that this is equal to the multiplicity of  $q$  as an eigenvalue of Frobenius on  $H^2$ , which gives the first inequality in the theorem. Moreover, equality holds if and only if the  $l$ -primary part of the Tate-Shafarevitch group is finite. Deligne also proved that the polynomials  $P_i(S, T)$  are independent of  $l$ . These facts give the equivalence of the first 3 statements; and the equivalence of the last follows from a more involved calculation, once  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is known to be finite.

## 2. Constant curves

We continue with the notation of the previous section, and specialize to the case where  $E/k$  is a constant curve; that is,  $E$  arises by extension of scalars from a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In this case the surface  $S$  can be taken to be  $E \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X$ .

First, we note that  $E(k)_{\text{tors}} = E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Indeed, the torsion points of  $E$  are defined over an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \cap k = \mathbb{F}_q$ .

Next, suppose that  $P$  is a point of infinite order in  $E(k)$ . This point can be viewed as a section  $s : X \rightarrow S$ ; since the section  $s$  is not constant, it induces a dominant morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow E$ , of finite degree. We have the formula

$$\langle P, P \rangle = (2 \deg \pi) \log q.$$

This follows from the fact that the height pairing on  $E(k)$  is induced by the intersection pairing on  $S$ .

Giving the image of  $P$  in the quotient  $L = E(k)/E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is the same as giving the map  $\pi$ , up to translation by elements of  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Since any map from  $X$  to  $E$  factors through the Jacobian  $J$  of  $X$  [38]), we can identify

$$L = E(k)/E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(J, E).$$

We view  $L$  as an integral even lattice, that is, a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with a positive definite integer-valued quadratic form (the height divided by  $2 \log q$ ). Lattices arising in this manner are called *Mordell-Weil lattices*, and have many interesting properties. These have been studied by Elkies, Shioda and others, cf. [18], [48].

By Equation D.3,

$$L(E/k, s) = \frac{\det(1 - \text{Frob } q^{-s} | H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}_l) \otimes H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_l))}{(1 - aq^{-s} + q^{1-2s})(1 - aq^{1-s} + q^{3-2s})},$$

where  $a$  is the trace of Frobenius on the  $l$ -adic Tate module of  $E$ . We have identified  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_l) = V_l J^\vee$ , and  $H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}_l) = V_l E^\vee$ , cf. [38], Cor. 9.6, and

$$V_l J^\vee \otimes V_l E^\vee \cong \text{Hom}(V_l J, V_l E)(-1).$$

Hence the rank of  $E(k)$ , which is equal to the rank of the free quotient  $L = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(J, E)$ , is also equal to the multiplicity of  $q$  as an eigenvalue of Frobenius on  $V_l J^\vee \otimes V_l E^\vee$ , by Tate's theorem for abelian varieties over finite fields (Equation (C.2)). Comparison with the above equation for  $L(E/k, s)$  gives

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/k, s) = \text{rank } E(k),$$

and by Theorem 3.1 the full Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true for the curve  $E$ .

Let us write  $V_l E^\vee \otimes V_l J^\vee = U \oplus V$ , where  $U$  is the  $q$ -eigenspace of Frobenius, and  $V$  is the sum of the other eigenspaces. Then the leading term of the  $L$ -series at  $s = 1$  is given by

$$\frac{(\log q)^n \det(1 - \text{Frob } q^{-1} | V)}{\#E(k)_{\text{tors}}^2 q^{-1}} = \frac{1}{q^{g-1}} \frac{\det(L)(\log q)^n}{\#E(k)_{\text{tors}}^2} \#III(k, E),$$

where we have applied the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, and the evaluation of the period  $P(E/k) = 1/q^{g-1}$  and the regulator  $R(E/k) = \det(L) \cdot (\log q)^n / \#E(k)_{\text{tors}}^2$  to obtain the equality with the right hand side. Canceling some terms gives the final identity

$$q^g \det(1 - \text{Frob } q^{-1} | V) = \det(L) \#III(k, E).$$

The simplest situation is when  $V = 0$ , so the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius on  $V_l E^\vee \otimes V_l J^\vee$  is  $(t - q)^{4g}$ . We are going to investigate a special case where this happens.

**Example.** Suppose that  $q$  is a square, and write  $q = q_0^2$ . We choose  $E$  so that both eigenvalues of the arithmetic  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius are equal to  $-q_0$ ; this can be done, for example, by taking  $E$  to be a supersingular curve with  $q_0 + 1$  points over the finite field with  $q_0$  elements (cf. Equation (C.1)). The existence of such curves is a consequence of Honda-Tate theory [53]; they are obtained as the reduction of elliptic curves with complex multiplication over number fields.

Let  $X$  be the non-singular curve defined by the Fermat equation

$$x^{q_0+1} + y^{q_0+1} + z^{q_0+1} = 0$$

in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . This curve has genus  $g = q_0(q_0 - 1)/2$ . A simple point count shows that  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = q_0^3 + 1$ . On the other hand, the Lefschetz fixed point theorem in étale cohomology gives the number of points as

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\text{Frob} | H^i(X \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_l)) = q + 1 - \text{Tr}(\text{Frob} | H^1(J, \mathbb{Q}_l)).$$

This can be equal to  $q_0^3 + 1$  if and only if all the eigenvalues of Frobenius are equal to  $-q_0$ , since the eigenvalues have absolute value  $q_0$  under any embedding  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  [37].

We therefore have  $V = 0$ , and  $L = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(J, E)$  is a lattice of rank  $4g = 2q_0(q_0 - 1)$ . The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture then gives

$$\det L \cdot \#\text{III}(k, E) = q^g = q_0^{q_0(q_0-1)}.$$

Write  $q_0 = p^f$ . Then  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is trivial if and only if  $f \leq 2$  [24], and Dummigan [17] has studied the order of  $\text{III}(k, E)$  in the case that  $f > 2$ . This allows one to compute the determinant of the Mordell-Weil lattice, and in some cases identify its isomorphism type. Here is a table for some small values of  $q_0$ ; note that  $f \leq 2$  in these cases.

$q_0$	2	3	4
rank $L$	4	12	24
det $L$	$2^2$	$3^6$	$4^{12}$
$L$	$D_4$	$CT_{12}$	$2\Lambda_{24}$

We obtain the  $D_4$  root lattice, the Coxeter-Todd lattice of rank 12 and a multiple of the Leech lattice of rank 24. For example, a point count can be used to bound the degree of maps  $X \rightarrow E$  from below, and to show that there are no vectors of norm 2 once  $q_0 > 2$ . Since the Coxeter-Todd lattice is the unique 12-dimensional even integral lattice of determinant  $3^6$  with no roots, this identifies  $L$  when  $q_0 = 3$ .

### 3. Non-constant curves

We briefly describe which cases of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture are known for general elliptic curves over function fields. Continuing with the notation of the first section, we let  $E/k$  be an elliptic curve, and  $\pi : S \rightarrow X$  the minimal regular model of  $E$ . We have an isomorphism  $(\pi_*\omega_{S/X})^{\otimes 12} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{D}(E/k))$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(E/k)$  is the minimal discriminant of  $E/k$ . One can show that  $\deg \mathcal{D}(E/k)/12 = -(\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ , which can take on any non-negative value.  $E$  is a constant curve if and only if  $(\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$ .

In the case that  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ , the low values of  $\deg \mathcal{D}(E/k)$  give (via the classification of surfaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \mathcal{D}(E/k) = 0 & \quad S = E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ is a ruled surface;} \\ = 12 & \quad S \text{ is a rational surface;} \\ = 24 & \quad S \text{ is a K3 surface.} \end{aligned}$$

The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer is known in these cases. The case of rational surfaces is due to Milne [35]. The case of a K3 surface is due to Artin and Swinnerton-Dyer [1]. However, the conjecture of Artin and Tate remains open for genuine elliptic surfaces and for surfaces of general type.

Ulmer has made progress recently by studying cases where the surface  $S$  is dominated by a product of curves. He has constructed explicit examples of non-constant curves  $E$  over rational function fields where the rank is arbitrarily large [58]. There is also an analog of the theory of special points on modular curves (presented below) in the function field setting, which involves the study of Drinfeld modules of ranks 1 and 2. Using this analogy, one should be able to show that the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer is true whenever the order of the  $L$ -function of  $E/k$  at  $s = 1$  is  $\leq 1$  [5], [57].

#### 4. Results over number fields

Let  $k$  be a number field, and  $E/k$  an elliptic curve. As we saw in lecture 2, the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer cannot be formulated until one knows that the  $L$ -function of  $E/k$  can be analytically continued to a neighborhood of the point  $s = 1$ . This continuation has been established for some elliptic curves, which we now describe.

A Shimura curve  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is the quotient of the upper half plane by an arithmetic Fuchsian group. These groups are constructed from quaternion algebras  $B$  over totally real number fields  $k$ , which are split at precisely one real place  $v$  of  $k$ . The associated system of Shimura curves has a canonical model over the field  $k$ , embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$  via the place  $v$  [11], [13], [63].

**Definition 3.2.** We say an elliptic curve  $E$  over a totally real number field  $k$  is *modular* if it is isogenous over  $k$  to a factor of the Jacobian of a Shimura curve.

When  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , it is known that all elliptic curves are modular [4]. Here one only needs the classical modular curves, which are the Shimura curves associated to the split quaternion algebra  $B$  of two-by-two matrices over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Gross-Zagier [26], Kolyvagin [32], Zhang [63]). *Let  $E/k$  be a modular elliptic curve over the totally real number field  $k$ . Then  $L(E/k, s)$  is entire and satisfies Conjecture 2.7. If we assume further that  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/k, s) \leq 1$ , then*

- (1)  $\text{rank}(E(k)) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/k, s)$ ;
- (2)  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is finite; and
- (3) the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true at almost all primes.

This theorem is due to Gross-Zagier and Kolyvagin for  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and to Zhang in the general case. By the statement “is true at almost all primes”, we mean that the ratio  $c(E/k)/(R(E/k) \cdot P(E/k))$  is a non-zero rational number, and that for all rational primes  $p$  outside of a specified finite set depending on the curve  $E$ , the  $p$ -part of the order of  $\text{III}(k, E)$  is equal to the  $p$ -part of this ratio. In many cases, one can refine Kolyvagin’s method to prove that the specified finite set is empty.

The crux of the proof is the exploitation of special points on Shimura curves, and the divisor classes of degree zero which are supported on these points in the Jacobian. The special points are defined over (abelian extensions of) the CM fields  $K$  with totally real subfield  $k$ , which embed in the quaternion algebra  $B$ . We give a sketch in the case that  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and the quaternion algebra  $B$  is split, where the special points are called *Heegner points*.

Assume that  $E$  has conductor  $N = N(E/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the proof that  $E$  is modular exhibits a weight 2 newform  $f$  for the group  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with integer Fourier coefficients such that  $L(f, s) = L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$ . The space of cusp forms of weight 2 for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is naturally identified with the space of holomorphic differentials on  $X_0(N)$ . This implies that  $E$  is isogenous to a quotient of the Jacobian  $J_0(N)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [15].

The composition of the canonical map  $X_0(N) \rightarrow J_0(N)$ , obtained by sending the cusp  $\infty$  to the zero point of  $J_0(N)$ , with the projection  $J_0(N) \rightarrow E$  gives a dominant map  $\pi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We let  $\omega$  be the unique invariant differential on  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which satisfies  $\pi^*(\omega) = \omega_f = 2\pi i f(z) dz$  [34].

The complement of the cusps in  $X_0(N)$  is a coarse moduli space of elliptic curves  $(A, A')$  related by a cyclic  $N$ -isogeny. Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic number field of discriminant  $D$  in which all the prime factors of  $N$  are split. There

exists an integral ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $K$  such that  $\gcd(\mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{n} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{n}} = (N)$ , and the complex elliptic curves given by the lattice quotients  $A = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $A' = \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{n}^{-1}$  are related by the isogeny  $x : A \rightarrow A'$  with cyclic kernel of order  $N$ . By the theory of complex multiplication (cf. [50], Ch. II) this Heegner point  $x$  is defined over the Hilbert class field  $H$  of  $K$ , that is,  $x \in X_0(N)(H)$ .

Recall that  $\pi(x)$  is the projection of the class  $[x] - [\infty] \in J_0(N)(H)$  to  $E(H)$ , and let

$$P = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/K)} \pi(x)^\sigma \in E(K),$$

the addition occurring in  $E(H)$ . Birch asked when  $P$  had infinite order in the group  $E(K)$ . Based on extensive computations, he conjectured that this question was related to the non-vanishing of the first derivative of  $L(E/K, s)$  at  $s = 1$ . It is proved in [26] that

$$L'(E/K, s) = \frac{\langle P, P \rangle_K}{\sqrt{|D|}} \cdot \int_{E(\mathbb{C})} |\omega|.$$

The proof of this identity follows from a comparison of the calculation of the first derivative, using Rankin's integral formula for the  $L$ -function, with the calculation of the global height of  $P$ , using Néron's theory of local heights on the curve  $X_0(N)$ , discussed below.

In particular,  $P$  has infinite order if and only if  $L'(E/K, 1)$  does not vanish. If  $P$  has infinite order, it is not infinitely divisible in the finitely generated abelian group  $E(K)$ . Kolyvagin [32] shows that the Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_p(K, E)$  has dimension 1 over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for almost all primes  $p$ , and is generated by the image  $\delta(P)$ . At the remaining primes, he shows that the  $p$ -primary part of the Tate-Shafarevitch group  $\text{III}(K, E)$  is finite. Combining this argument with some non-vanishing results for the  $L$ -series of quadratic twists gives the result in the theorem when the order of the  $L$ -function of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is either 0 or 1.

## 5. Local and global heights

Let  $k$  be a global field. Néron's theory of local heights (cf. [41], [26]) expresses the canonical height pairing on the Jacobian  $J$  of a curve  $X/k$  as a sum

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_v \langle a, b \rangle_v$$

of local terms, almost all of which are zero. Here we take  $a, b$  to be divisors of degree zero on  $X$  with disjoint supports representing the classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The local symbols  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v$  are characterised by the property that they are bi-additive, symmetric, continuous, and satisfy

$$\langle a, \text{div}(f) \rangle_v = \log |f(a)|_v = \log \prod_x |f(x)|_v^{m_x},$$

where  $a = \sum_x m_x \cdot x$  and  $f$  is a rational function on  $X$ .

When  $v$  is archimedean, the local height pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v$  is defined using potential theory. When  $v$  is a non-archimedean place, the local pairing can be defined using intersection theory as follows. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the minimal regular model of  $E$  over  $\text{Spec } A_v$ , cf. [10], [33].

We write  $\mathcal{F} = \sum a_i \mathcal{F}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the special fiber of  $\mathcal{E}$ , the  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are its irreducible components, and the  $a_i$  are their respective multiplicities. The intersection pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  in the special fiber has the property that  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_i)$  is zero for each  $i$ . Furthermore,

it is negative definite on the quotient group  $\sum_i \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F}_i/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F}$ , so is non-degenerate over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

To define the local height in this case, take a divisor  $a = \sum m_x \cdot x$  on  $E$  of degree 0, and its closure  $A$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . It suffices to treat the case that  $a$  is pointwise rational over  $k_v$  (i.e. if  $m_x \neq 0$ , then  $x$  has co-ordinates in  $k_v$ ).

By the non-degeneracy of the intersection pairing in the special fiber, we can find a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\mu$ , whose support lies in the special fiber, such that  $(A - \mu, \mathcal{F}_i) = 0$  for each  $i$ . Given a degree 0 divisor  $b$ , rational over  $k_v$ , relatively prime to  $a$ , we take its closure  $B$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and define

$$\langle a, b \rangle_v = (A - \mu, B) \cdot \log \#(A_v/\pi_v A_v).$$

Using the classification of the special fibers of the minimal regular models of elliptic curves, and their intersection matrices (cf. the table in [54]), one can find bounds on the denominators of the local pairing, and thus of the global height pairing for function fields. This fact was used in the previous lecture. A detailed computation of the denominators, corresponding to the classification of special fibers, can be found in [48].



## Appendices

### A. Reduction modulo $v$

Let  $k_v$  be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation  $v$ . For us these will arise as the completions at finite places of global fields. From now on we write  $A_v$  for the ring of integers,  $\pi_v$  for a choice of uniformizer of this discrete valuation ring, and  $\mathbb{F}_v$  for the residue field  $A_v/\pi_v A_v$ .

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $k_v$ . Choose an equation for  $E$  such that the coefficients  $a_i$  are all in  $A_v$ , and such that the valuation  $v(\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_6))$  of the discriminant is minimal with respect to this property. This is called a *minimal equation* for  $E$ . Write  $\bar{a}_i$  for the image of  $a_i$  in  $\mathbb{F}_v$ . One can show that the reduced curve

$$y^2 + \bar{a}_1 xy + \bar{a}_3 y = x^3 + \bar{a}_2 x^2 + \bar{a}_4 x + \bar{a}_6$$

does not depend on the choice of minimal equation (up to isomorphism over the residue field). It therefore has the right to be called the reduction of  $E$  modulo  $v$ , and we will write it as  $\tilde{E}_v$ , or  $\tilde{E}$  if no confusion will result.

**Definition A.1.** If  $v(\Delta) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{E}$  will be non-singular, and so will be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_v$ . In this case, we say that  $E$  has *good reduction at  $v$* . If  $v(\Delta) > 0$  then the reduced curve will be singular, in which case we say that  $E$  has *bad reduction*. However, the non-singular locus  $\tilde{E}^{ns}$  can still be made into a group variety using the same chord-tangent process, with the point at infinity still playing the role of zero element. There are three possibilities.

- (1)  $\tilde{E}$  is a cuspidal cubic curve. In this case,  $\tilde{E}^{ns}$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .
- (2)  $\tilde{E}$  is a nodal cubic curve, and the tangent lines at the node are defined over the residue field. In this case,  $\tilde{E}^{ns}$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .
- (3)  $\tilde{E}$  is a nodal cubic curve, and the tangent lines at the node are defined only over a quadratic extension of the residue field. In this case,  $\tilde{E}^{ns}$  is isomorphic to the twisted form of the multiplicative group corresponding to this quadratic extension.

We speak respectively of *additive*, *split multiplicative*, and *non-split multiplicative reduction*.

**Example.** Consider the curve

$$E : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$$

over the function field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$  of genus 0, where  $q$  is odd. This curve has discriminant  $\Delta = 16 \cdot t^2 \cdot (t-1)^2$ . Since a change of variable changes the valuation of  $\Delta$  at each place by a multiple of 12, the above equation is minimal at all places

except  $t = \infty$ . At the place  $t = 1$ , the curve  $E$  has split multiplicative reduction. At the place  $t = 0$  the curve  $E$  has multiplicative reduction, and the tangents at the node are rational if and only if  $-1$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Finding a suitable model for the curve at  $t = \infty$ , one can check that the curve  $E$  has additive reduction at  $t = \infty$ .

One of the reasons that the notion of reduction is useful is the existence of a natural reduction map  $\rho : E(k_v) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_v)$ . Choose a minimal equation for  $E$  over  $A_v$ . Given a point  $P = [X : Y : Z]$  with co-ordinates in  $k_v$ , one can assume that each co-ordinate is integral, and that at least one of  $X, Y, Z$  has valuation 0. The point  $\rho(P) = [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}]$  then lies on the reduced curve. There are some important subgroups associated to the map  $\rho$ .

**Definition A.2.** We write

$$E^0(k_v) = \rho^{-1}(\tilde{E}^{ns}(\mathbb{F}_v))$$

for the inverse image of the smooth locus on the reduced curve (if  $E$  has good reduction, then  $E(k_v) = E^0(k_v)$ ). We write

$$E^1(k_v) = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}})$$

for the inverse image of the point at infinity on the reduced curve.

**Proposition A.3.** *The restriction of  $\rho$  to  $E^0(k_v)$  is a homomorphism, which surjects onto  $\tilde{E}^{ns}(\mathbb{F}_v)$ .*

*Moreover, if  $n$  is prime to the residue characteristic and  $E$  has good reduction at  $v$ , then the restriction of  $\rho$  to the  $n$ -torsion of  $E(k_v)$  is also injective, and induces an isomorphism*

$$E(k_v)[n] \cong \tilde{E}^{ns}(\mathbb{F}_v)[n].$$

**PROOF.** The surjectivity follows from a version of Hensel's lemma. The fact that  $\rho$  is a homomorphism follows on showing that the chord-tangent process behaves well with respect to reduction.

There is a complete description of the kernel of the reduction map in terms of the formal group attached to  $E$ , giving the addition law in a neighborhood of the identity. It is known that all the torsion in the kernel has order a power of the residue characteristic; this gives the second part of the proposition. For the definition of the associated formal group, see Ch. IV of [49] or Thm. 4.2 in [54]. An introduction to one-dimensional formal groups in general can be found in [20].  $\square$

The following lemma is useful in the computation of periods.

**Lemma A.4** (Tate [54]). *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $k_v$  where  $v$  is a finite place of  $k$ , and choose a minimal Weierstrass model for  $E$ . Let  $\omega_v$  be an invariant differential, defined over  $A_v$ , which does not vanish modulo  $\pi_v A_v$ , and let  $dx_v$  be the Haar measure on  $k_v$  which gives  $A_v$  volume 1. Then*

$$\int_{E(k_v)} |\omega_v| = \frac{[E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)]}{L_v(1)}.$$

**PROOF.** We begin with some notation. We may assume that  $E$  is given by a minimal equation

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6,$$

and that the differential is of the form

$$\omega_v = \frac{dx}{2y + a_1x + a_3}.$$

We let  $z = -x/y$ . Then  $z$  is a local parameter at the point  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , and working in the formal completion at the origin gives that  $dz = \omega_v(1 + O(z))$ .

The proof of the lemma is based on the existence of the formal group of  $E$ , alluded to in the proof of the Proposition A.3. Completing along the origin  $z = 0$  of  $E$  gives a formal group  $F$  with the property that  $(\pi_v)_F$  (that is, the set  $\pi_v A_v$  endowed with the group law defined by  $F$ ) is isomorphic to  $E^1(k_v)$ . In particular, viewing  $E(k_v)$  as a  $v$ -adic analytic manifold,  $z : E^1(k_v) \rightarrow \pi_v A_v$  forms a chart. It follows that

$$\int_{E^1(k_v)} |\omega_v| = \int_{\pi_v A_v} |dz(1 + O(z))| = \frac{1}{[A_v : \pi_v A_v]} \int_{A_v} |dz| = \frac{1}{q_v},$$

using the translation invariance of Haar measure and the fact that  $|1 + O(z)| = 1$  when  $z \in \pi_v A_v$ , by the ultrametric property of the  $v$ -adic absolute value.

Write

$$N_v = [E^0(k_v) : E^1(k_v)] = \#\tilde{E}_v^{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_v).$$

Applying the translation invariance of  $\omega_v$  now gives

$$\int_{E(k_v)} |\omega_v| = \frac{[E(k_v) : E^0(k_v)]N_v}{q_v},$$

and re-arranging gives the result.  $\square$

## B. Descent computations

One of the reasons for introducing the  $n$ -Selmer group is that it is effectively computable. In this appendix we make a complete computation of the 2-Selmer groups of some elliptic curves referred to in the first lecture.

We will again consider the case where  $E$  is an elliptic curve over a global field  $k$ , not of characteristic 2, and all the points of  $E[2]$  have co-ordinates in  $k$ . In this case,  $E$  can be written in the form

$$E : y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3),$$

and we continue to write  $T_i = (e_i, 0)$ .

Let  $S$  denote the set of places of  $k$  which divide 2 or where  $E$  has bad reduction, together with the infinite places. Lemma 1.4 shows that we have injections

$$E(k)/2E(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}_2(k, E) \hookrightarrow (k^\times(S, 2)/(k^\times)^2)_1^3$$

the latter group being finite. In order to compute the 2-Selmer group exactly we must compute the local conditions at  $v$  imposed by the image of  $E(k_v)/2E(k_v)$  in  $H^1(k_v, E[2])$ , for every place  $v$ .

In fact, the group on the right above is the one obtained by imposing the local conditions at places not in  $S$ . In other words,

$$(k^\times(S, 2)/(k^\times)^2)_1^3 = \ker \left( H^1(k, E[2]) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(k, E) \right).$$

It remains therefore to compute the conditions at the finitely many places  $v \in S$ . This can be done using the explicit description of the map  $\delta$  given earlier.

**Proposition B.1.** *A triple*

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in (k^\times(S, 2)/(k^\times)^2)_1^3$$

*not lying in  $\delta(E[2])$  lies in  $\text{Sel}_2(k, E)$  if and only if for every  $v \in S$  there exists a solution  $(z_1, z_2, z_3)$  in  $k_v$  with  $z_1 z_2 z_3 \neq 0$  to the following pair of equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 z_1^2 - b_2 z_2^2 &= e_2 - e_1 \\ b_1 z_1^2 - b_3 z_3^2 &= e_3 - e_1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$  does lie in the 2-Selmer group. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $b_1 b_2 = b_3$ . We must be able to produce, for every  $v \in S$ , a solution to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 z_1^2 &= x - e_1 \\ b_2 z_2^2 &= x - e_2 \\ b_3 z_3^2 &= x - e_3 \\ y^2 &= (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3) \end{aligned}$$

with values in  $k_v$ . Then one has

$$b_1 z_1^2 = e_2 - e_1 + b_2 z_2^2 = e_3 - e_1 + b_3 z_3^2,$$

proving necessity. On the other hand, given a solution to the equations in the statement of the proposition, one can take

$$x = b_1 z_1^2 + e_1 \text{ and } y = b_1 b_2 z_1 z_2 z_3,$$

and then  $(x, y)$  is a point in  $E(k_v)$  mapping to our given triple.  $\square$

The computation of the 2-Selmer group is therefore reduced to the problem of deciding whether finitely many curves have points defined over local fields. This is a simple matter, using Hensel's lemma. We illustrate with an example.

**Example.** Take  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$  with  $q$  odd, and let  $E$  be the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t).$$

Here the computation of the 2-Selmer group splits into two cases, depending on whether or not  $-1$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , although we will see that the final answer is the same:

$$\text{Sel}_2(k, E) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Suppose first that  $-1$  is a square, and let  $\alpha$  be a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . The above curve has bad reduction at the places  $t = 0, 1$  and  $\infty$ , and good reduction elsewhere. We can therefore take  $S = \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , and the pair  $(b_1, b_2)$  can take the values  $(\alpha^a t^b (t-1)^c, \alpha^d t^e (t-1)^f)$ , where  $a, \dots, f$  are allowed to take the values 0 or 1.

Before beginning the descent computation we note a shortcut which is often useful. At each place  $v$  of  $k$ , we have the filtration

$$E(k_v) \supset E^0(k_v) \supset E^1(k_v).$$

Write  $C = E(k_v)/E^1(k_v)$ . Since  $E^1(k_v)$  can be represented as the set of points of a formal group in  $\pi_v A_v$ , where multiplication by 2 is an isomorphism ([49], Prop. IV.2.3(b)),  $E(k_v)/2E(k_v)$  is isomorphic to  $C/2C$ .

Now,  $C$  has a natural filtration whose quotients are

$$E(k_v)/E^0(k_v) \text{ and } E^0(k_v)/E^1(k_v) \cong \widetilde{E}_v^{ns}(\mathbb{F}_v).$$

The latter group is easily understood, and the first group can be computed using Tate's algorithm to compute the Néron model of an elliptic curve, cf. [54] or [50], Ch. IV. Once enough is known about the group  $C/2C$ , it can sometimes be shown that the elements of  $E[2]$  give a complete set of representatives, immediately giving the local conditions at  $v$ .

In the language of the above references, the curve  $E$  has multiplicative reduction of Kodaira type  $I_2$  at the places 0 and 1, and additive reduction of Kodaira type  $I_2^*$  at infinity. Looking at the table on page 46 of [54] (also Table 15.1 in [49]) shows that when  $u = \infty$ ,

$$E(k_u)/E^0(k_u) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The group  $\tilde{E}_u^{ns}(\mathbb{F}_u)$  has no 2-torsion, so in this case we see that

$$E(k_u)/2E(k_u)$$

is an abelian group of the same type.

Now, computing the map  $\delta$  on the 2-torsion of  $E$  locally at the place  $u$  gives

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{O}_E & \mapsto (1, 1) \\ (0, 0) & (t, -1) \equiv (t, 1) \\ (1, 0) & (1, 1-t) \equiv (1, t) \\ (t, 0) & (t, t-1) \equiv (t, t), \end{array}$$

where the congruences are all taken  $(\text{mod } (k_u^\times)^2)$ , so we are in the case described above. Applying these local conditions implies that a set of representatives for the 2-Selmer group is contained in the set of elements of the form  $(t^b(t-1)^c, t^e(t-1)^f)$ . At this point it is instructive to draw a table:

	$b_1$	1	$t$	$t-1$	$t(t-1)$
$b_2$					
1		$\mathcal{O}_E$	$(0, 0)$		
$t$					
$t-1$		$(1, 0)$	$(t, 0)$		
$t(t-1)$					

The entries above indicate that a point in  $E(k)$  maps to the given pair  $(b_1, b_2)$ , which must therefore lie in the 2-Selmer group. To decide whether the remaining entries lie in the 2-Selmer group, we argue using the proposition above. Note that the conditions at  $\infty$  have already been imposed, so we need only check for points on the corresponding curves in the completions at 0 and 1.

Consider the pair  $(b_1, b_2) = (t-1, 1)$ . This corresponds to the pair of equations

$$(t-1)z_1^2 - z_2^2 = 1$$

and

$$(t-1)z_1^2 - (t-1)z_3^2 = t.$$

Let  $w$  be the normalized discrete valuation at  $t-1$ . If  $(z_1, z_2, z_3)$  is a solution in  $k_w$  with  $z_1 z_2 \neq 0$ , then the first equation shows that  $w(z_1) \geq 0$ . Then the second equation gives  $1 + 2w(z_3) = 0$ , a contradiction. It follows that the system of equations has no solution in  $k_w$ , and hence  $(t-1, 1)$  is not in the 2-Selmer group.

Using the fact that if  $x \in \text{Sel}_2(k, E)$  but  $y \notin \text{Sel}_2(k, E)$  then  $xy \notin \text{Sel}_2(k, E)$ , we arrive at the following table.

$b_2$	$b_1$	1	$t$	$t-1$	$t(t-1)$
1		$\mathcal{O}_E$	(0, 0)	X	X
$t$					
$t-1$		(1, 0)	( $t$ , 0)	X	X
$t(t-1)$					

A cross indicates an element that does not lie in the 2-Selmer group. One can now check that neither of the pairs  $(1, t)$  or  $(t-1, t)$  lie in the 2-Selmer group, giving the following table.

$b_2$	$b_1$	1	$t$	$t-1$	$t(t-1)$
1		$\mathcal{O}_E$	(0, 0)	X	X
$t$		X	X	X	X
$t-1$		(1, 0)	( $t$ , 0)	X	X
$t(t-1)$		X	X	X	X

It follows that

$$\text{Sel}_2(k, E) = E(k)/2E(k) \cong E[2] = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

In particular, the curve  $E$  has no rational points except for 2-torsion (it is a simple matter to check that  $E$  has no torsion except for 2-torsion). In the case that  $-1$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  one can proceed similarly, aided by the fact that  $E[2]$  now generates  $E(k_w)/2E(k_w)$  at the place  $w$  used above. Hence  $E(k) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $\text{III}(k, E)[2] = 0$ .

**Example.** Let

$$E' : t(t-1)y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t).$$

This is a twist of  $E$ , in the sense that it becomes isomorphic to  $E$  over the separable quadratic extension obtained by adjoining  $\sqrt{t(t-1)}$ , via the substitution  $\sqrt{t(t-1)}y \mapsto y$ . This curve  $E'$  now has additive reduction of type  $I_2^*$  at the three places  $t = 0, 1$  and  $\infty$ , and good reduction elsewhere. One can check that the natural map  $E'[2] \rightarrow E'(k_v)/2E'(k_v)$  is an isomorphism at these 3 places, allowing one to immediately calculate the 2-Selmer group. One obtains

$$\text{Sel}_2(k, E') \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 & \text{if } -1 \text{ is not a square in } \mathbb{F}_q^\times; \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 & \text{if } -1 \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_q^\times. \end{cases}$$

### C. The Tate module and the isogeny theorem

**Definition C.1.** Let  $l$  be a prime and let  $E/k$  be an elliptic curve. The  $l$ -adic Tate module of  $E$  is

$$T_l E = \varprojlim_n E[l^n],$$

the limit being taken over the natural numbers with respect to the homomorphisms

$$E[l^{n+1}] \xrightarrow{\times l} E[l^n].$$

Observe that  $T_l E$  has a natural profinite topology, and a continuous action of  $\text{Gal}(k^s/k)$ . When  $l$  is prime to the characteristic, Proposition 1.1 implies that  $T_l E$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module of rank 2. We will often use the 2-dimensional vector space  $V_l E = T_l E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$ , over  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ . This has a continuous action of  $\text{Gal}(k^s/k)$ , and gives (after a choice of basis) a 2-dimensional  $l$ -adic Galois representation

$$\rho_{E,l} : \text{Gal}(k^s/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_l).$$

When  $k$  is a global field, one can show that this representation is unramified away from the finite collection of places  $S(l)$  of  $k$ , which divide  $l$  or where the curve  $E$  has bad reduction. For each finite place  $v \notin S(l)$  let  $\phi_v$  denote a choice of arithmetic Frobenius element in  $G_{S(l)}$ , the Galois group of the maximal extension of  $k$  which is unramified outside of  $S(l)$ . Then  $\rho_{E,l}(\phi_v)$  has characteristic polynomial

$$(C.1) \quad X^2 - a_v X + q_v \text{ for } v \notin S.$$

The element  $\phi_v$  is defined only up to conjugacy in  $G_{S(l)}$  but the characteristic polynomial is independent of this choice. Since  $S(l)$  is finite, the Chebotarev density theorem implies that the set  $\{\phi_v\}_{v \notin S}$  is dense in  $G_{S(l)}$ . By continuity, the terms in the Euler product defining the incomplete  $L$ -function determine the characteristic polynomials of  $\rho_{E,l}(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in G_{S(l)}$ . In particular, knowledge of the Euler product of  $L_S(E/k, s)$  determines the representation  $\rho_{E,l}$  up to isomorphism. We have used here the fact that  $\rho_{E,l}$  is always semi-simple, cf. [45].

Tate conjectured that the natural map

$$(C.2) \quad \text{Hom}_k(E, E') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(k^s/k)}(T_l E, T_l E'),$$

which was shown by Weil to be injective ([49], Thm. 7.4), is an isomorphism, whenever  $k$  is finitely generated over the prime field. He proved this for abelian varieties in the case when  $k$  is a finite field [52], and Serre proved it for elliptic curves when  $k$  is a number field, under the assumption that  $E$  has a place of multiplicative reduction [45]. Faltings proved the full conjecture for all abelian varieties over number fields [19], [14]. In the function field case, Paršin [43] proved the theorem for elliptic curves, and Zarhin [62] proved it for abelian varieties over arbitrary finitely generated extensions of finite fields except in characteristic two, though now all cases are complete. In particular, two elliptic curves over a global field  $k$  are isogenous if and only if their associated  $l$ -adic representations  $V_l$  are isomorphic, and the Euler product defining the incomplete  $L$ -function is a complete isogeny invariant of an elliptic curve.

More notes on this appendix may be found in [49], Ch. 3, §7.

#### D. $L$ -functions of elliptic curves over function fields

Let  $k$  be the function field of an irreducible non-singular projective curve  $X$  of genus  $g$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $q$  elements. We will assume that  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \cap k = \mathbb{F}_q$ , or equivalently that  $X$  is geometrically irreducible (cf. [33], Cor. 3.2.14).

**Proposition D.1.** *Let  $E/k$  be an elliptic curve. Then we can write*

$$L(E/k, s) = M(q^{-s})$$

where  $M(x)$  is a rational function of degree

$$(D.1) \quad \deg M = 4g - 4 + \deg N(E/k).$$

If  $E/k$  is non-constant, then  $M(x)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and constant coefficient equal to 1.

The proof uses étale cohomology, cf. [12], [37]. The  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves can be expressed in terms of characteristic polynomials of Frobenius actions on appropriate étale cohomology groups.

**Definition D.2.** Let  $U$  be a geometrically irreducible non-singular curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $X$  be the (unique) non-singular completion of  $U$ , cf. [29] I.6. Then  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(U) = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ . Write  $S$  for the set of places of  $k$  which correspond to points of  $X - U$ , and let  $K_S$  be the maximal separable extension of  $k$  which is unramified outside  $S$ , inside a suitable separable closure of  $k$ . We call the group  $\text{Gal}(K_S/k)$  the *étale fundamental group*  $\pi_1(U)$  of  $U$ . Let  $l$  be a prime not dividing  $q$ . A *lisse  $l$ -adic sheaf*  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$  is a continuous finite-dimensional  $l$ -adic representation of  $\pi_1(U)$ .

We have adopted a simplified definition here in order to avoid unnecessary complications. For the general definition, we refer to [28] or [37]. As examples of lisse  $l$ -adic sheaves, we have the trivial representation  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  of  $\pi_1(X)$ , and the representation  $V_l(E)$  of  $\pi_1(U)$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$  and  $U$  is the complement of the set  $S$  of places of bad reduction. By the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevitch [47], this representation is unramified at places of good reduction, hence it is lisse on  $U$ . In Grothendieck's theory, we will often work with the dual representation  $V_l(E)^\vee$  of  $\pi_1(U)$ , which is the cohomology in degree 1 of the family of curves over  $U$ . The Weil pairing gives an isomorphism with the Tate twist

$$V_l(E)^\vee \cong V_l(E)(-1).$$

We note that the arithmetic Frobenius  $\phi : x \mapsto x^q$  in the Galois group acts by the scalar  $q$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_l(1)$ , so the geometric Frobenius  $\text{Frob} = \phi^{-1}$  acts by  $q$  on the twist  $\mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$ .

**Definition D.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a lisse  $l$ -adic étale sheaf on a non-singular geometrically irreducible curve  $U/\mathbb{F}_q$ , and let  $U^0$  denote the set of closed points of  $U$ . Then the *formal  $L$ -function of  $\mathcal{F}$*  is given by

$$L(U, \mathcal{F}, t) = \prod_{y \in U^0} \det(1 - t^{\deg y} \text{Frob}_y | \mathcal{F}).$$

Here  $\text{Frob}_y$  denotes the geometric Frobenius at the place  $v$  corresponding to  $y$ . The  $L$ -function  $L(U, \mathcal{F}, s)$  is given by substituting  $q^{-s}$  for  $t$ .

Let us now sketch the proof of Proposition D.1. In order to avoid technical complications we will make some simplifying assumptions along the way. For example, we will assume that  $q$  is not a power of 2 or 3 to avoid dealing with wild ramification.

**PROOF. Step 1: The bad factors.** Let  $S$  be the set of places of bad reduction of  $E/k$ . We have

$$L(E/k, s) = \prod_v L_v(E/k, s) = L_S(E/k, s) \cdot \prod_{v \in S} L_v(E/k, s).$$

First we will discuss the latter factor, coming from places of bad reduction. Recall the  $L$ -factors at places of bad reduction, given in Equation (2.3), and compare

them to the formula for the conductor  $N(E/k)$  of  $E$  given in Definition 2.5. Our assumption on  $q$  implies that the factors  $\delta_v$  in Equation (2.6) vanish, hence

$$\prod_{v \in S} L_v(E/k, t) \text{ is a rational function of degree } \deg N(E/k) - 2 \cdot \#S.$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that the factor  $L_S(E/k, t)$  is a rational function of degree  $4g - 4 + 2 \cdot \#S$ .

**Step 2. An equality of  $L$ -functions.** Write  $U$  for the complement of  $S$  in  $X$ , and consider  $V_l E$  as a representation of the étale fundamental group  $\pi_1(U)$ , i.e. as a lisse  $l$ -adic étale sheaf on  $U$ . Therefore, by the equality of the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho_{E,l}(\phi_v)$  in Equation (C.1) with the definition of an  $L$ -factor in Equation (2.1), one obtains an identity of  $L$ -functions

$$L_S(E/k, t) = L(U, V_l E^\vee, t).$$

where  $V_l E^\vee$  is the dual representation, on the first degree cohomology of  $E$ .

**Step 3: Grothendieck's work on  $L$ -functions** In this step we split into two cases, depending on whether the set  $S$  of places of bad reduction is empty or not. A good general reference on this material is [30].

**Case  $S \neq \emptyset$ .** The curve  $U$  is affine since  $S$  is not empty, and  $V_l E$  is lisse. These conditions imply, by a version of the Lefschetz trace formula [37], that

$$L(U, V_l E^\vee, t) = \frac{\det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid H_c^1(U \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, V_l E^\vee))}{\det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid H_c^2(U \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, V_l E^\vee))},$$

where the cohomology is étale cohomology with compact support. Here  $\text{Frob}$  continues to denote the geometric Frobenius. The denominator is trivial, because  $H_c^2(V_l E^\vee) = 0$ . Indeed, it is Poincaré dual to  $H^0(V_l E)(-1)$ . But the group  $H^0(V_l E)$  is equal to the invariants of  $\text{Gal}(k^s/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X))$  on  $V_l E$ . Since  $E/k$  is non-constant, there are only a finite number of  $l$ -torsion points defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ , and these invariants are trivial.

The cohomology with compact support on an affine curve always vanishes in all degrees not 1 or 2. We have shown that it is also trivial in degree 2 in this case. Hence the rank of  $H_c^1(U \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, V_l E^\vee)$  is the negative of the Euler characteristic of this cohomology. We have shown that  $L_S(E/k, t)$  is a polynomial. To show that its degree is equal to  $4g - 4 + 2\#S$  it remains to show that the Euler characteristic  $\chi(H^*(U, V_l E^\vee))$  is equal to  $4 - 4g - 2\#S$ . We can calculate this Euler characteristic using ordinary étale cohomology, which is Poincaré dual to cohomology with compact support.

**Case  $S = \emptyset$ .** In this case  $X = U$ . For a lisse étale sheaf over the smooth geometrically irreducible projective curve  $X$ , the determination of the  $L$ -function is even more straightforward because as  $X/\mathbb{F}_q$  is proper, there is no concern with compact support. Grothendieck's theory implies that

$$L(X, V_l E^\vee, t) = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq 2} \det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid H^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, V_l E^\vee))^{(-1)^i}$$

Therefore it again suffices to show that the Euler characteristic of the étale cohomology of  $V_l E^\vee$  is  $4 - 4g$ .

**Step 4: Raynaud's Euler Characteristic Formula.** This formula, given in [44], gives the Euler characteristic of the cohomology of  $l$ -adic sheaves as the product of the Euler characteristic of the base  $U$  with the rank of the sheaf, modified by terms that measure the wild ramification at points in  $S$ . There is no wild ramification on  $X$  in our case, because we have assumed that  $q$  is not a power of 2 or 3. Thus

$$\chi(H^*(U, V_l E^\vee)) = \chi(U) \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l} V_l E^\vee.$$

As we saw above,  $V_l E^\vee$  is of dimension 2 and the Euler characteristic of  $U$  is given by  $\chi(X) - \#S = 2 - 2g - \#S$ . This shows that  $\chi(H^*(U, V_l E^\vee)) = 4 - 4g - 2\#S$ , which is what was needed in both of the cases in Step 3.  $\square$

**Remark.** Assume that  $E$  is constant,  $E = A \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X$  for some an elliptic curve  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $Y$  is a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $d$ , we can define its zeta function as

$$(D.2) \quad Z(Y, t) = \prod_{y \in Y^0} (1 - t^{\deg y}) = L(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l, t),$$

which by the Lefschetz trace formula [27] is equal to

$$Z(Y, t) = \prod_{i=0}^{2d} \det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid H^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l))^{(-1)^{i+1}}$$

In particular, we find that

$$Z(A, t) = \frac{1 - at + qt^2}{(1-t)(1-qt)} = \frac{L_v(E/k, t)^{-1}}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$$

where  $a = q + 1 - \#A(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and

$$Z(X, t) = \frac{M(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$$

where  $M(t)$  is a polynomial of degree  $2g(X)$ . By the Künneth formula and the general relation between zeta and  $L$ -functions

$$L(E/k, t) = \frac{\det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid H^1(A) \otimes H^1(X))}{\det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid (H^1(A) \otimes H^0(X)) \oplus (H^1(A) \otimes H^2(X)))}.$$

As the Frobenius eigenvalues on the 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -vector spaces  $H^0(X)$  and  $H^2(X)$  are 1 and  $q$  respectively, we find that

$$(D.3) \quad L(E/k, s) = \frac{\det(1 - t \text{Frob} \mid H^1(A) \otimes H^1(X))}{(1 - aq^{-s} + q^{1-2s})(1 - aq^{1-s} + q^{3-2s})}.$$

Note that this is a rational function of degree  $4g - 4$  in  $q^{-s}$ , as expected.

## Bibliography

- [1] M. Artin and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The Shafarevich-Tate conjecture for pencils of elliptic curves on K3 surfaces*, Invent. Math. **20** (1973), 249–266.
- [2] B. J. Birch and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Notes on elliptic curves. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965), 79–108. MR MR0179168 (31 #3419)
- [3] S. Bloch, *A note on height pairings, Tamagawa numbers, and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), no. 1, 65–76. MR MR570874 (81m:14030)
- [4] Christophe Breuil, Brian Conrad, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ : wild 3-adic exercises*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 4, 843–939 (electronic). MR MR1839918 (2002d:11058)
- [5] M. L. Brown, *Heegner modules and elliptic curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1849, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [6] Joe P. Buhler, Benedict H. Gross, and Don B. Zagier, *On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for an elliptic curve of rank 3*, Math. Comp. **44** (1985), no. 170, 473–481. MR MR777279 (86g:11037)
- [7] J. W. S. Cassels, *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. IV. Proof of the Hauptvermutung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **211** (1962), 95–112. MR MR0163915 (29 #1214)
- [8] ———, *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. VIII. On conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **217** (1965), 180–199.
- [9] ———, *Lectures on elliptic curves*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 24, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [10] T. Chinburg, *Minimal models for curves over Dedekind rings*, Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984), Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 309–326.
- [11] Pierre Deligne, *Travaux de Shimura*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 23ème année (1970/71), Exp. No. 389, Springer, Berlin, 1971, pp. 123–165. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 244.
- [12] ———, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4½, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.
- [13] ———, *Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 247–289.
- [14] ———, *Preuve des conjectures de Tate et de Shafarevitch (d’après G. Faltings)*, Astérisque (1985), no. 121-122, 25–41, Seminar Bourbaki, Vol. 1983/84.
- [15] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 228, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR MR2112196 (2006f:11045)
- [16] Tim Dokchister and Vladimir Dokchister, *Root numbers and parity of ranks of elliptic curves*, ArXiv: 0906.1815 (2009).
- [17] Neil Dummigan, *The determinants of certain Mordell-Weil lattices*, Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), no. 6, 1409–1429.
- [18] Noam D. Elkies, *Mordell-Weil lattices in characteristic 2. I. Construction and first properties*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1994), no. 8, 343 ff., approx. 18 pp. (electronic).
- [19] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 3, 349–366. MR MR718935 (85g:11026a)
- [20] A. Fröhlich, *Formal groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 74, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.

- [21] Dorian Goldfeld, *The class number of quadratic fields and the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **3** (1976), no. 4, 624–663. MR MR0450233 (56 #8529)
- [22] ———, *Gauss’s class number problem for imaginary quadratic fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **13** (1985), no. 1, 23–37. MR MR788386 (86k:11065)
- [23] Benedict H. Gross, *Local heights on curves*, Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984), Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 327–339.
- [24] ———, *Group representations and lattices*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 4, 929–960.
- [25] ———, *L-functions at the central critical point*, Motives, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Volume 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1991, pp. 527–535.
- [26] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 2, 225–320. MR MR833192 (87j:11057)
- [27] Alexandre Grothendieck, *Formule de Lefschetz et rationalité des fonctions L*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 9, Soc. Math. France, Paris, pp. Exp. No. 279, 41–55.
- [28] ———, *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du bois marie - 1960-61 - revêtements étales et groupe fondamental - (sga 1)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1971.
- [29] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [30] Nicholas M. Katz, *L-functions and monodromy: four lectures on Weil II*, Adv. Math. **160** (2001), no. 1, 81–132. MR MR1831948 (2002c:11066)
- [31] ———, *Twisted L-functions and monodromy*, Annals of Math Studies, vol. 150, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 2002.
- [32] V. A. Kolyvagin, *Finiteness of  $E(\mathbf{Q})$  and  $SH(E, \mathbf{Q})$  for a subclass of Weil curves*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **52** (1988), no. 3, 522–540, 670–671. MR MR954295 (89m:11056)
- [33] Qing Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [34] B. Mazur and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Arithmetic of Weil curves*, Invent. Math. **25** (1974), 1–61.
- [35] James S. Milne, *The Brauer group of a rational surface*, Invent. Math. **11** (1970), 304–307.
- [36] ———, *On a conjecture of Artin and Tate*, Ann. of Math. (2) **102** (1975), no. 3, 517–533.
- [37] ———, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [38] ———, *Jacobian varieties*, Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984), Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 167–212.
- [39] ———, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, second ed., BookSurge, LLC, 2006.
- [40] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1970.
- [41] A. Néron, *Quasi-fonctions et hauteurs sur les variétés abéliennes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **82** (1965), 249–331.
- [42] A. P. Ogg, *Elliptic curves and wild ramification*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 1–21. MR MR0207694 (34 #7509)
- [43] A. N. Paršin, *Minimal models of curves of genus 2, and homomorphisms of abelian varieties defined over a field of finite characteristic*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **36** (1972), 67–109. MR MR0316456 (47 #5003)
- [44] Michel Raynaud, *Caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré d’un faisceau et cohomologie des variétés abéliennes*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 9, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, pp. Exp. No. 286, 129–147. MR MR1608794
- [45] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Abelian l-adic representations and elliptic curves*, McGill University lecture notes written with the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968. MR MR0263823 (41 #8422)
- [46] ———, *Algebraic groups and class fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 117, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, Translated from the French.
- [47] Jean-Pierre Serre and John Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 492–517. MR MR0236190 (38 #4488)
- [48] Tetsuji Shioda, *On the Mordell-Weil lattices*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **39** (1990), no. 2, 211–240.
- [49] Joseph H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

- [50] ———, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [51] John Tate, *Duality theorems in galois cohomology over number fields*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Amsterdam, 1962, pp. 288–295.
- [52] ———, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 134–144. MR MR0206004 (34 #5829)
- [53] ———, *Classes d'isogénie des variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1968/69, Exp. No. 347 – 363, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, pp. 95–110. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 179.
- [54] ———, *Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil*, Modular functions of one variable, IV (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 33–52. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 476.
- [55] ———, *On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and a geometric analog*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 9, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, pp. 415–440, Exp. No. 306.
- [56] Richard Taylor and Andrew Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 553–572.
- [57] Douglas Ulmer, *Elliptic curves and analogies between number fields and function fields*, Heegner points and Rankin  $L$ -series, vol. 49, MSRI Publications, Berkeley, 2004, pp. 285–315.
- [58] ———,  *$L$ -functions with large analytic rank and abelian varieties with large algebraic rank over function fields*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), 379–408.
- [59] André Weil, *Adeles and algebraic groups*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 23, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1982, With appendices by M. Demazure and Takashi Ono. MR MR670072 (83m:10032)
- [60] Andrew Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551.
- [61] ———, *The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*, The millennium prize problems, Clay Math. Inst., Cambridge, MA, 2006, pp. 31–41. MR MR2238272
- [62] Ju. G. Zarhin, *Abelian varieties in characteristic  $p$* , Mat. Zametki **19** (1976), no. 3, 393–400. MR MR0422287 (54 #10278)
- [63] Shouwu Zhang, *Heights of Heegner points on Shimura curves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **153** (2001), no. 1, 27–147. MR MR1826411 (2002g:11081)